

When It Comes to The Authenticity of Information, Philosophical Considerations Are Important

Azaad Ahmad

Assistant Professor of University of Peshawar at Azaad11@gmail.com

Abstract

What methods may be used to determine the accuracy of information gained from print media sources such as newspapers, novels, and the internet? Library and information science covers a wide range of practical areas. This study argues that examining the theory of knowledge related to eyewitness testimony, specifically through the scholarly writings of David Hume and Alvin Goldman, can offer useful insights in this particular situation. The current research indicates four crucial elements that must be taken into account when evaluating the reliability of information: (i) authoritative endorsement and plausibility; (ii) manner of presentation; (iii) independent verification; and (iv) coherence of presentation. This study aims to investigate the effectiveness of integrating philosophical disciplines into the teaching tactics of information professionals. The focus is on improving students' ability to critically analyse information. The study intends to establish the methods by which this integration can be achieved. Overall, this presentation discusses a wide range of additional methods that information professionals can and should use to help users evaluate the reliability of a particular source of information.

Introduction

LIS has been a subject of scholarly investigation for an extended period, as evidenced by Floridi's work in 2002 (see Floridi, 2002a, p. 44 for citation). This work aims to explore the potential application of PI within the field of Library and Information Science (LIS) to effectively handle the issue of data veracity assessment, which holds significant practical significance. This pertains to the methodologies through which individuals might assess the credibility of information offered across different forms of media. It is noteworthy that library and information scientists mostly direct their attention towards the evaluation of information quality, rather than emphasizing the verification of information accuracy (Alexander & Tate, 1999; Cooke, 1999). Indeed, the assessment of information quality encompasses other conventional criteria, with accuracy being only one of them. For further exploration of this subject, refer to Cooke (1999) or Alexander and Tate (1999), specifically pages 11-13. The importance of accuracy remains a fundamental aspect of reliable resources, especially within the fields of librarianship and information science. Consider incorporating further criteria for assessing the quality of content. The identification of dependable, impartial, and current sources of information holds paramount significance for us, as we believe that such sources offer the most precise data. In essence, these criteria encompass not just the accuracy of the information but also the caliber of the information. Librarians and information scientists express legitimate concerns over the quality of information sources that go beyond mere correctness. These issues encompass factors such as the accessibility, pertinence, comprehensibility, and ease of navigation of those sources. Primarily, my sources of inspiration encompass the scholarly contributions of David

Hume (1748-1977) and Alvin Goldman (1999, 2001), alongside the domain of game theory. Insufficient provision of information is a concern, as highlighted by Peter Hernon (1995, p. 133), who emphasizes the need to verify the accuracy of data or information before placing reliance on it. Thirdly, it has been determined that there exist four fundamental attributes that must be considered in the process of ascertaining the veracity of information. These attributes are as follows: (i) the manner in which the information is presented; (ii) the presence of independent verification; (iii) the plausibility and support of the information; and (iv) the authority from which the information originates. This study aims to elucidate the potential contributions of different academic disciplines in enhancing the ability of information professionals to effectively educate the general public on the critical assessment of data sources. Furthermore, it is imperative that information workers adopt certain essential tactics to aid individuals in the process of verifying the credibility of information.

The presence of inaccurate data might give rise to many issues.

Even the most trusted sources of information may have inaccuracies. The Chicago Tribune made an assertion, without doing proper verification of its sources, that Dewey emerged victorious over Truman in the presidential election of 1948. According to Frické (1997, p. 887), there was an instance where Dan Rather made an erroneous assertion on the relationship between John Hinckley's gunshot wound and James Brady's demise. Given the absence of editorial oversight in online content publication, it is inevitable that a substantial amount of incorrect material will be readily accessible. The available literature indicates the presence of a significant amount of misinformation circulating over the internet (Impicciatore et al., 1997; Connell & Tipple, 1999; Berland et al., 2001). The presence of inaccurate data poses significant challenges since it often leads to user misinterpretation and confusion. It is imperative to consider non-epistemic hazards as well. Humans have the capacity to make informed decisions when provided with an ample amount of data. Misinformation has the potential to detrimentally impact individuals' financial and physical well-being (Fowler et al., 2001; Kiley, 2002).

In addition to the aforementioned complexities, certain individuals may possess an inclination towards excessive trust, whilst others may exhibit an inclination towards excessive caution. Certain individuals, motivated by a fear of being deceived, may opt to disregard valuable information, even if it appears to be reliable. According to Wachbroit (2000), the presence of inaccurate information inside information sources does not necessarily have negative implications. Individuals cannot be deceived as long as they possess the ability to differentiate between objective truth and fictional narratives. According to Cerf's (2002) research, individuals frequently have difficulties in distinguishing between factual information and fictional content. One notable observation pertains to the accessibility of contemporary web design tools, which enables individuals to create websites that exhibit a high degree of professionalism. According to Silberg et al. (1997, p. 1244), the Internet enables individuals to assume the positions of author, editor, and publisher simultaneously, regardless of their anonymity preferences, by utilizing a computer. Both novice and experienced Internet

users may have challenges in distinguishing between beneficial and detrimental content.

Hence, it is imperative for individuals to possess the ability to discern credible sources from those that lack reliability. In alternative terms, it is important to establish a method that can ascertain the accuracy of the information. The primary concern regarding the presence of inaccurate information predominantly resides on the Internet. Therefore, this study primarily concentrates on strategies to authenticate the veracity of information obtained from online sources. However, the procedures outlined can be employed to authenticate the accuracy of data obtained from any source. In the subsequent sections, an analysis will be conducted to explore the alignment between endeavors aimed at resolving the issue of inaccurate knowledge and the theoretical framework established by research on witness epistemology. The present theoretical framework elucidates the underlying rationale behind these laws and proposes potential options for their improvement. The utilization of a conceptual framework can also enhance the execution and distribution of these guidelines.

It is important to acknowledge that the problem of incorrect information is not a fresh phenomenon. There exists a multitude of supplementary challenges that individuals encounter that are analogous to the aforementioned one. The issue of counterfeit currency cannot be effectively addressed without individuals acquiring the ability to distinguish genuine currency from counterfeit currency (Bureau of Engraving and Printing, 2002). In a same manner, it is vital for buyers to possess the ability to discern between products of superior and worse quality, as a means to effectively tackle the issue of substandard merchandise (Baird et al., 1994, pp. 122-125). This study demonstrates the heuristic utility of employing methodologies originally designed to tackle unrelated issues in addressing the challenge of erroneous information. The concept of evidence-based beliefs refers to the practice of forming beliefs or making decisions based on empirical evidence and rigorous scientific inquiry. The process of ascertaining the veracity of statements provided by witnesses constitutes a subcategory within the broader domain of confirming the precision of documentary evidence. Let us assume a state of curiosity regarding the vertical height of the Eiffel Tower. Only a limited number of individuals possess the requisite equipment and skill to conduct accurate measurements of the Eiffel Tower. The remaining individuals must acquire this knowledge through the process of reading or conducting online research. The author's proof is typically regarded as credible and reliable when acquired through a book or website, leading us to place our trust in it.

The significance of witness epistemology lies in the fact that a substantial portion of our understanding of the world is acquired through indirect methods (Lipton, 1998, p. 2).

According to Hume (1748/1977, p.74), witness and spectator evidence holds significant prominence as a prevalent, valuable, and indispensable mode of reasoning within the realm of human existence. A significant portion of the knowledge we acquire from others is derived through written sources such as books, newspapers, and websites. Goldman (1999, p. 4) observes that the field of traditional epistemology has not placed significant emphasis on the epistemology of testimony. Nevertheless,

considerable scholarly attention has been dedicated to this subject, as evidenced by the works of Giedymin (1963), Hardwig (1985), Coady (1992), Lipton (1998), Goldman (1999, pp. 103-130), and Goldman (2001). The establishment of this academic discipline can be traced back to the ancient Greeks. In Plato's work "The Republic," the author (380 BC/2002, p. 170d) raises the question of the extent to which it is possible to scrutinize an individual's assertion of possessing knowledge and determine if they truly possess the claimed knowledge or not. In the year 1748, David Hume authored a highly influential early exploration of the epistemology of witness titled "An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding" (1977). In his chapter titled "Of Miracles," Hume presents a comprehensive framework that enables the evaluation of the credibility of every given piece of evidence. This particular framework is highly suitable for the purpose of validating the accuracy and truthfulness of data that has been previously gathered. The number six. Hume (1748/1977, p. 77) responds to an assertion regarding the observation of a deceased individual's resurrection by promptly contemplating the comparative likelihood of either the person in question engaging in deception or being deceived, as opposed to the actual occurrence of the event being described.

Hume's methodology was originally devised for the purpose of scrutinizing narratives involving miraculous events, although it may be readily applied to appraise the veracity of a website's assertion regarding the safety and efficacy of a certain prescription for a child suffering from fever. The evaluation of all data, including witness accounts, is important in order to select the best rational interpretation. The phrase "inference to the best explanation" is frequently employed to elucidate this particular strategy. The technique discussed in this statement is commonly utilized by philosophers in evaluating the reliability of scientific arguments. However, it is worth noting that this technique can also be extended to analyze the credibility of witnesses (Lipton, 1998, p. 27). What is the most compelling rationale, as an example, for the website's assertion that this treatment is devoid of risks and yields positive outcomes? Which entity is more prone to endorsing an inefficient treatment regimen: the pharmaceutical sponsor affiliated with the website or the author's limited medical expertise? Is there a higher likelihood of the medicine exhibiting its targeted therapeutic effects in individuals? According to Hume (1748/1977), on page 73, a prudent individual "adapts their convictions in accordance with the available evidence," so surpassing mere determination of the most probable outcome. When the preponderance of evidence in favor of a claim surpasses the evidence against it, it is more reasonable to consider accepting it as true (Hume, 1748/1977, pp. 73-74). The degree of confidence in the accuracy of a claim should be determined by the relative importance assigned to the evidence supporting its correctness versus the evidence supporting its inaccuracy. According to Hume (1748/1977, p. 74), the uncertainty of the outcome arises when confronted with a situation where there are a hundred examples or experiments supporting one side and fifty supporting the other. Nevertheless, in the event if a hundred experiments exhibit consistent results with only one outlier, it is possible to arrive at a highly confident conclusion. Hume initiates his endeavor with a predetermined assessment of worth. In alternative terms, he is proposing strategies for

ascertaining the reliability of a given source. The normative endeavor also involves the inclusion of internet content evaluation standards that have been published in print.

On the other hand, several recent papers in the field of Library and Information Science (LIS), including Fogg et al. (2001), Eysenbach and Köhler (2002), Rieh (2002), and Wathen and Burkell (2002), have examined the process by which individuals assess the reliability and trustworthiness of online sources. There exists an inherent disparity between individuals' perceptions of reliability and their actual assessments of trustworthiness when it comes to online information. The persuasive capabilities of authors are intrinsically linked to the perception of credibility held by readers. The cultivation of authentic beliefs constitutes the secondary aim of Humean pedagogy. The intention is for these ideas to align with empirical observations. The prevailing consensus among philosophers is that the objective of information searchers is aligned with the perspective put forth by Goldman (1999, p. 3). The number eleven. For example, according to John Locke (1690/1975, p. 697), it is evident that in order to generate sound ideas, it is imperative to critically evaluate our thoughts in light of the available data. Nevertheless, the perspective of library and information science professionals diverges from this interpretation regarding the reasons of individuals seeking information (Fallis, 2000, p. 314). According to Jesse Shera (1970, p. 97), disinformation can still be considered knowledge that is capable of being known and comprehended. However, it has been highlighted by certain scholars in the field of library and information science (e.g., Frické, 1997, p. 887; Meola, 2000, p. 174; Doyle, 2001, p. 62-63) that individuals seeking information frequently aim to acquire accurate viewpoints. In the context of conducting research for an academic paper on the Eiffel Tower, it would be crucial for a student to ascertain the precise height of the structure. She is engaged in the pursuit of knowledge and seeking to uncover the truth. When a child falls ill, parents often contemplate potential actions they may undertake to provide assistance. It is advisable to refrain from devoting time to scrutinizing the accuracy of information, unless such an endeavor would contribute to the attainment of truth. The number twelve.

This, however, does not suggest that individuals who seek knowledge are exclusively driven by a quest for veracity (Goldman, 1999, p. 26). One example of a scholar who supports the notion that reading offers advantages beyond the acquisition of factual information, as achieved through processes such as comprehension, is Walter Kaufmann (1977, pp. 47-83). The number thirteen. While it may not be our primary objective, the acquisition of genuine beliefs frequently serves as a pivotal milestone in the pursuit of this supplementary aim (Bruce, 2000, p. 109).

It is important to note that while obtaining genuine perspectives remains a primary goal, our concerns extend beyond mere data correctness. Incomplete or faulty data might sometimes contribute to misleading results. Hence, we maintain a cautious stance towards the comprehensiveness of the data (Frické, 1997; Fallis & Frické, 2002, pp. 74-75). On the contrary, the primary concern in this study revolves around the challenge of establishing the accuracy and reliability of the collected data. The user's text, "469," does not provide enough information to be rewritten in an Which individuals provide testimony as witnesses? The concept of authority refers to the

legitimate power or control that individuals or institutions possess to make Insufficient allocation of resources towards assuring data accuracy Both researchers and librarians emphasize the importance of consulting the original publication in order to authenticate information. According to Wilson (1983), Alexander and Tate (1999, p. 11), as well as Cooke (1999, pp. 58-60), it is crucial to emphasize the significance of validating the credibility of the sources of information. It is imperative to consider the "character... of the witnesses," as articulated by Hume (1748/1977, p. 75). The concept in philosophy that refers to the process of forming a belief by considering the reliability of the source is commonly known as "appeal to authority." In introductory texts on logic, the concept of appealing to authority is frequently identified as a fallacy. Not all appeals to authority can be considered valid, as evidenced by Salmon (1995, p. 105) in conjunction with Goldman (2001, p. 88). An instance that exemplifies the fallacy of appealing to authority is relying on television as a source of medical advice. The number 15 is the integer that follows 14 and precedes 16 in the When the source of information is deemed reliable and possesses expertise in the relevant subject matter, it is proper to make an appeal to authority.

According to Jerzy Giedymin (1963, pp. 288-289), there exist two primary methods for assessing the credibility of an information source. To commence, it is imperative to ascertain the historical level of consistency and accuracy exhibited by this particular source of information. Individuals are more prone to be correct in the present if they have previously demonstrated accuracy (Hume, 1748/1977, p.73). Furthermore, do you possess any grounds to question the credibility of this source in terms of providing dependable facts in this particular case? Customers are frequently encouraged by authoritative guidelines for assessing information to take into account the presence of any discernible bias in the information source.

References

Alcoff, L. M. (1999). On judging epistemic credibility: Is social identity relevant? *Philosophic Exchange*, 29, 73–89.

Alexander, J. E., & Tate, M. A. (1999). *Web wisdom: How to evaluate and create information quality on the Web*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. Ambre, J., Guard, R., Perveiler, F. M., Renner, J., & Rippen, H. (1997). Criteria for assessing the quality of health information on the Internet. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://hitiweb.mitretek.org/docs/criteria.html>. Atkinson, R. (1996). Library functions, scholarly communication, and the foundation of the digital library: Laying claim to the control zone. *Library Quarterly*, 66(3), 239–265.

Baird, D. G., Gertner, R. H., & Picker, R. C. (1994). *Game theory and the law*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. Berland, G. K., Elliott, M. N., Morales, L. S., Algazy, J. I., Kravitz, R. L., Broder, M. S., Kanouse, D. E., Muñoz, J. A., Puyol, J.-A., Lara, M., Watkins, K. E., Yang, H., & McGlynn, E. A. (2001). Health information on the Internet: Accessibility, quality, and readability in English and Spanish. *JAMA*, 285(20), 2612–2621.

Bruce, B. (2000). Credibility of the Web: Why we need dialectical reading. *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, 34(1), 97–109.

Burbules, N. C. (2001). Paradoxes of the Web: The ethical dimensions of credibility. *Library Trends*, 49(3), 441–453.

Bureau of Engraving and Printing. (2002). Anti-counterfeiting: Security features. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://www.bep.treas.gov/section.cfm/7/35>. Cerf, V. G. (2002). Truth and the Internet. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://info.isoc.org/internet/conduct/truth.shtml>. Cleveland State University. (2002). Cleveland digital library. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://web.ulib.csuohio.edu/SpecColl/cdl/>. Coady, C. A. J. (1992). *Testimony: A philosophical study*. New York: Oxford University Press. Connell, T. H., & Tipple, J. E. (1999). Testing the accuracy of information on the World Wide Web using the AltaVista search engine. *Reference & User Services Quarterly*, 38(4), 360–368.

Cooke, A. (1999). Neal-Schuman authoritative guide to evaluating information on the Internet. New York: Neal-Schuman. Digital Library of Information Science and Technology. (2002). Digital library of information science and technology. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://dlist.sir.arizona.edu>. Doyle, T. (2001). A utilitarian case for intellectual freedom in libraries. *Library Quarterly*, 71(1), 44–71.

Eysenbach, G., & Köhler, C. (2002). How do consumers search for and appraise health information on the World Wide Web? Qualitative study using focus groups, usability tests, and in-depth interviews. *British Medical Journal*, 324, 573–577.

Fallis, D. (1999). Inaccurate consumer health information on the Internet: Criteria for evaluating potential solutions. Proceedings of the annual symposium of the American Medical Informatics Association. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://www.amia.org/pubs/symposia/D005366.PDF>. Fallis, D. (2000). Veritistic social epistemology and information science. *Social Epistemology*, 14(4), 305–316.

Fallis, D., & Frické, M. (2002). Indicators of accuracy of consumer health information on the Internet: A study of indicators relating to information for managing fever in children in the home. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 9(1), 73–79.

Floridi, L. (2002a). On defining library and information science as applied philosophy of information. *Social Epistemology*, 16(1), 37–49.

Floridi, L. (2002b). What is the philosophy of information? *Metaphilosophy*, 33(1/2), 123–145.

Floridi, L. (2003). On the intrinsic value of information objects and the infosphere. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 4, 287–304.

Fogg, B. J., Marshall, J., Laraki, O., Osipovich, A., Varma, C., Fang, N., Paul, J., Rangnekar, A., Shon, J., Swani, P., & Treinen, M. (2001). What makes Web sites credible? A report on a large quantitative study. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 61–68.

Fowler, B., Franklin, C., & Hyde, R. (2001). Internet securities fraud: Old trick, new medium. *Duke Law and Technology Review* (no. 0006). Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://www.law.duke.edu/journals/dltr/ARTICLES/2001dltr0006.html>. Frické, M. (1997). Information using likeness measures. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 48(10), 882–892.

Froomkin, A. M. (1996). The essential role of trusted third parties in electronic commerce. *Oregon Law Review*, 75, 49–115. Giedymin, J. (1963). Reliability of informants. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 13(52), 287–302.

Goldman, A. I. (1987). Foundations of social epistemics. *Synthese*, 73(1), 109–144. Goldman, A. I. (1999). *Knowledge in a social world*. New York: Oxford University Press. Goldman, A. I. (2001). Experts: Which ones should you trust? *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 63(1), 85–110.

Griffiths, K. M., & Christensen, H. (2000). Quality of Web-based information on treatment of depression: Cross-sectional survey. *British Medical Journal*, 321, 1511–1515.

Hardwig, J. (1985). Epistemic dependence. *Journal of Philosophy*, 82(7), 335–349.

Hardwig, J. (1994). Toward an ethics of expertise. In D. E. Wueste (Ed.), *Professional ethics and social responsibility* (pp. 83–101).

Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.

Health on the Net Foundation. (2002a). HON code of conduct for medical and health Web sites. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://www.hon.ch/HONcode/Conduct.html>. Health on the Net Foundation. (2002b). Policing the HONcode. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://www.hon.ch/HONcode/policy.html>. Hernon, P. (1995). Disinformation and misinformation through the Internet: Findings of an exploratory study. *Government Information Quarterly*, 12(2), 133–139.

Herold, K. R. (2001). Librarianship and the philosophy of information. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 3(2). Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://www.uidaho.edu/~mbolin/lppv3n2.htm>. Hume, D. (1977). *An enquiry concerning human understanding*. Indianapolis: Hackett. (Original work published

1748) Impicciatore, P., Pandolfini, C., Casella, N., & Bonati, M. (1997). Reliability of health information for the public on the World Wide Web: Systematic survey of advice on managing fever in children at home. *British Medical Journal*, 314, 1875–1879.

Internet Scout Project. (2003a). Internet Scout Project. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://scout.cs.wisc.edu>. Internet Scout Project. (2003b). Internet Scout Project report selection criteria. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://scout.cs.wisc.edu/Reports/selection.php>. Kaufmann, W. (1977). *The future of the humanities*. New York: Reader's Digest Press. Ketelaar, E. (1997). Can we trust information? *International Information and Library Review*, 29, 333–338.

Kiley, R. (2002). Some evidence exists that the Internet does harm health. *British Medical Journal*, 324, 238. Kunst, H., Groot, D., Latthe, P. M., Latthe, M., & Khan, K. S. (2002). Accuracy of information on apparently credible Web sites: Survey of five common health topics. *British Medical Journal*, 321, 581–582.

Landsburg, S. E. (1999, September 15). Too true to be good: The real reason you can't believe everything you read. *Slate*. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://slate.msn.com/?id=34856>. Lee, K. P., Schotland, M., Bacchetti, P., & Bero, L. A. (2002). Association of journal quality indicators with methodological quality of clinical research articles. *JAMA*, 287(21), 2805–2808.

Lipton, P. (1998). The epistemology of testimony. *Studies in the History and Philosophy of Science*, 29(1), 1–31.

Locke, J. (1975). *An essay concerning human understanding*. Oxford: Clarendon Press. (Original work published in 1690) McDowell, A. (2002). Trust and information: The role of trust in the social epistemology of information science. *Social Epistemology*, 16(1), 51–63.

Meola, M. (2000). Review of *Knowledge in a social world* by Alvin I. Goldman. *College and Research Libraries*, 61(2), 173–174.

Mill, J. S. (1978). *On liberty*. Indianapolis: Hackett. (Original work published in 1859)

Monmonier, M. (1991). *How to lie with maps*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. National Library of Medicine. (2002). Fever and children. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/001980.htm>.

Perry, J. A. (1985). The Dillion hypothesis of titular colonicity: An empirical test from the ecological sciences. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 36(4), 251–258.

Perry, J. A. (1986). Titular colonicity: Further developments [Letter to the editor]. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 37(3), 177–178.

Piper, P. S. (2000). Better read that again: Web hoaxes and misinformation. *Searcher*, 8(8). Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://www.infotoday.com/searcher/sep00/piper.htm>. Plato. (2002). Charmides. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://www.perseus.tufts.edu/cgi-bin/ptext?lookup=Plat.+Charm.+153a>. (Original work published in 380 B.C.) Price, S. L., & Hersh, W. R. (1999). Filtering Web pages for quality indicators: An empirical approach to finding high-quality consumer health information on the World Wide Web. *Proceedings of the Annual Symposium of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 911–915.

Rieh, S. Y. (2002). Judgment of information quality and cognitive authority in the Web. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 53(2), 145–161.

Salmon, M. H. (1995). *Introduction to logic and critical thinking* (3rd Ed.). Fort Worth: Harcourt Brace. SBC Pacific Bell. (2002). Blue Web'n. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://www.kn.pacbell.com/wired/bluewebn/>. Shapin, S. (1994). *A social history of truth: Civility and science in seventeenth-century England*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Shera, J. (1970). *Sociological foundations of librarianship*. New York: Asia Publishing. Silberg, W. M., Lundberg, G. D., & Musacchio, R. A. (1997). Assessing, controlling, and assuring the quality of medical information on the Internet. *JAMA*, 277(15), 1244–1245.

Smith, A. (2002). Evaluation of information sources. *The World-Wide Web Virtual Library*. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://www.vuw.ac.nz/~agsmith/evaln/evaln.htm>. Smith, C. (2002). Social epistemology, contextualism and the division of labour. *Social Epistemology*, 16(1), 65–81.

Spence, M. (1974). *Market signaling: Informational transfer in hiring and related screening processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. Thagard, P. (2001). Internet epistemology: Contributions of new information technologies to scientific research. In K. Crowley, C. D. Schunn, & T. Okada (Eds.), *Designing for science: Implications from everyday, classroom, and professional settings* (pp. 465–485). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum. Wachbroit, R. (2000). Reliance and reliability: The problem of information on the Internet. *Report from the Institute for Philosophy & Public Policy*, 20(4), 9–15. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from <http://www.puaf.umd.edu/IPPP/reports/vol20fall00/Fall2000.pdf>.

Wathen, C. N., & Burkell, J. (2002). Believe it or not: Factors influencing credibility on the Web. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 53(2), 134–144.

Wengert, R. (2001). Some ethical aspects of being an information professional. *Library Trends*, 49(3), 486–509.

Wilde, O. (2003). The importance of being earnest. Retrieved September 29, 2003, from [http:// www.classicbookshelf.com/library/oscar_wilde/the importance of being earnest/](http://www.classicbookshelf.com/library/oscar_wilde/the_importance_of_being_earnest/). (Original work published in 1895) Wilkinson, G. L., Bennett, L. T., & Oliver, K. M. (1997). Evaluation criteria and indicators of quality for Internet resources. *Educational Technology*, 37(3), 52–59.

Wilson, P. (1983). *Second-hand knowledge: An inquiry into cognitive authority*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press. Worsfold, E. (1998). Subject gateways: Fulfilling the DESIRE for knowledge. *Computer Networks and ISDN Systems*, 30(16–18), 1479–1489.