

Trans locality: Concepts, Applications, and Novel Perspectives on Research

Naveed Khan

Lecturer at University of Peshawar at naveed@gmail.com

Hamza Khattak

PhD Scholar of University of Peshawar at at-hamza@gmail.com

Abstract

The trans locality research paradigm is currently gaining popularity as a means of addressing research concerns. There has been a new trend where more academics are using conceptual frameworks from various academic fields to analyze the phrase. These frameworks specifically emphasize the complex connections between mobility, migration, and the sociospatial connections that extend beyond geographical limits. The authors frequently strive to incorporate perspectives from various regions of the globe while also endeavoring to mitigate certain constraints inherent in this conventional study framework. The term of translocality is employed to elucidate the socio-spatial dynamics and the processes of simultaneity and identity formation that take place across various boundaries, including those that extend beyond nation states. This paper analyzes the evolution of the concept of translocality over the 13th and 14th centuries and provides a concise overview of the characteristics noted by multiple authors. In this talk, our primary objectives are to elucidate the fundamental principles of location and mobility and to enumerate the various academic disciplines in which these principles have been utilized. The findings of our analysis indicate that employing a translocal technique has the potential to generate novel and profound insights in various fields.

Keywords: transnationalism, movement, translocality, and spatial theory

Introduction

Why write about moving between places?

The idea of "translocality" is becoming increasingly well-known in today's culture. The concepts of translocality, which is sometimes known as translocalism, and transnationalism are sometimes used interchangeably by a group of 22 academics who come from a variety of academic fields. These fields of study include geography (Castree 2004, Conradson and McKay 2007, Steinbrink 2009, Brickell and Datta 2011b, Featherstone 2011, Hedberg and do Carmo 2012a, Verne 2012), history and area studies (Oakes and Schein 2006b, Freitag and von Oppen 2010), cultural studies (Ma 2002, Bennett and Peterson 2004), and anthropology (Ma 2002, Bennett and Peterson 2004). However, in the majority of cases, it is typically utilized with the goal of extending and improving upon this venerable scientific history. As a result, this expression is typically used to refer to occurrences that are characterized by movement, relocation, dispersion, and geographical interconnectivity and that may cross national lines.

However, in addition to these apparent similarities, what possible advantages might be provided by the idea of translocality? What exactly does the term "35" mean when used in the context of these authors' work? The question that has to be answered is whether the concept at hand ought to be seen as an extension of transnationalism,

or whether it ought to be acknowledged as a distinct theoretical construct. 36 is the value that was given by the user in numerical form. In this review, we take a methodical approach to examining and analyzing these concerns, paying close attention to detail. This investigation starts off by tracing the 38-part conceptual link that exists between transnationalism and translocality. Following this, an investigation is carried out in order to investigate the manner in which the latter, which is referred to as the 39th element, operates as a means to bridge specific conceptual gaps within the former.

In this investigation, we make use of the pertinent academic literature to conduct a comparative study of the many different definitions of translocalism that have emerged in recent years. The investigation of two important facets of this idea, namely mobility and location, is the primary emphasis of this paper. In this article, we provide a condensed review of the numerous study disciplines (43) that have made use of the notion up to this point. In addition, we contend that the idea presented in (44) ought to be treated as a distinct research perspective in its own right, rather than merely an expansion of the transnationalist worldview. In conclusion, it is essential to emphasize several factors relevant to the personality of the concept that was just discussed.

Adding to the idea of transnationalism

As discussed by Hannerz (1996), Castells (2000), and Appadurai (2003), the primary focus of the academic discourse on transnationalism has been on the processes of deterritorialization and the concept of spatially unbounded communities. This is true even if the term "transnationalism" itself was not used until the 1980s. Transnationalism arose as a response to the need to conceptualize social domains that stretched beyond national limits and to question conventional concepts of nationhood and citizenship (Basch, Glick Schiller, and Szanton Blanc, 1995, page 52). In other words, transnationalism was a response to the necessity of conceiving social domains that extended beyond national bounds. The concept of "rootedness," which refers to a strong relationship between identity and territory, has been critically investigated by social anthropology and related disciplines (Malkki 1992; Gupta and Ferguson 1992). Malkki's research was published in 1992, while Gupta and Ferguson's research was published in 1992.

Empirical research, on the other hand, reveal that there is a revived global emergence of territorialized concepts of belonging and an increase in the formation of ethno-nationalist movements (Geschiere 2009). As a direct consequence of this, there has been a recent spike in the academic community's interest in the relevance of local contexts (Kokot 2007). Since the middle of the 1990s, researchers whose focus is on transnationalism have been shifting their attention to the investigation of the more localized aspects of international migration (Ley, 2004). This transition has been represented in the issues that have been raised over "local-to-local relations" (Guarnizo and Smith, 1998), "transnational urbanism" (Smith, 2001), and "cultural sites" (Olwig, 1997) respectively. These concerns have led to the development of territorialized conceptualizations of transnationalism, which place less focus on the interaction between local and global dynamics that occur within specific localities such as homes, neighborhoods, cities, and even 66 family units. As Wimmer and Glick Schiller pointed

out in 2002, transnationalism is a clear attempt to confront the limitations of methodological nationalism. These shortcomings were brought to light by the authors. The continuous concern, on the other hand, continues to be oriented around the transgression and exchange that takes place across national boundaries. In addition, it is important to note that the assumption that the global environment is shaped and arranged based on a predetermined collection of easily visible scales is still deeply rooted in academic discourse (Amelina 2010, Verne 2012). This is something that should be taken into consideration.

When writing, authors that make use of the idea of translocality frequently draw from the 73 concepts that are drawn from transnational viewpoints in order to provide a foundational framework for their work. The authors express their curiosity regarding actors placed inside specific areas and the significance of local settings after the advent of a more "grounded" version of transnationalism (Brickell and Datta, 2011a, page 3). In addition, the authors Oakes and Schein (2006b) expand their analytical framework to include a wide variety of features of border violations and socio-spatial arrangements that go beyond the migratory patterns of individuals (Uimonen 2009, Gottowik 2010, page 79). This activity is no longer necessary as a result of a myriad of different circumstances. The value represented by the number 80. Although interregional exchange has a more extensive historical foundation, as observed in regions such as the Arab world or the Indian Ocean region (Freitag and von Oppen, 2010a; Verne 2012), the process of nation-building appears to be a relatively recent occurrence in the Global South, according to the historical evidence that has been uncovered (Freitag and von Oppen, 2010a).

Furthermore, the categorization of migration as either domestic or international has become increasingly irrelevant as a result of the arbitrary character of international borders and the weak enforcement of these boundaries in a number of countries that were formerly colonies. This is due to the fact that international borders are increasingly porous. When compared to domestic migration, international migration is said to be characterized by shorter distances, less social heterogeneity, and fewer impediments. These characteristics were provided by Adepoju (2006). domestic migration, which accounts for a major 90 percent of global migration dynamics, has gotten inadequate attention as a result of the primary focus on international movements (Trager, 2005). It is important to note that domestic migration, which has received inadequate attention, is worth noting. The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP, 2009, page 21) reports that the most recent estimates put the global population of internal migrants at over 740 million, while the number of international migrants is substantially smaller at 214 million. This disparity can be attributed to the fact that internal migration occurs more frequently than international migration.

In addition, it is evident that there are socioeconomic gaps as well as unequal geographical development, all of which are generally accepted as being the primary driving causes for international migration (Faist and Reisenauer, 2009). Not only do these variables exist beyond national borders, but they also exist within the confines of a country themselves. In conclusion, a research project that was carried out on

transnational migrant groups called Research 97 found that the daily social practices of migrants (as seen in their routines and interactions) are profoundly affected by the experiences that they have had in their local communities. According to the findings of prior research carried out by Smith (2001) and Nez-Madrado (2007), one method that is advised for gaining an understanding of significant expressions is by way of local-to-local interactions. In light of the information presented above, Freitag and von Oppen (2010a, page 12) make the observation that transnationalism might be seen as a specific manifestation of translocalism. This is an important point to keep in mind. In addition, there are some academics who (Hedberg & Do Carmo, 2012) go as far as to consider translocality to be the concept that is both larger and more inclusive.

How to Define Translocality

After conducting an investigation into the expanding body of scholarly writing on the topic of translocality, it has come to light that there is a diverse vocabulary that incorporates a number of different concepts. Among these ideas are flow, travel, transfer, circulatory knowledge, location, locality and localities, mobility, connection, networks, and places. However, this list is not exhaustive. The word "translocality" has been used by a number of authors, including Steinbrink (2009), Brickell and Datta (2011), and Greiner and Sakdapolrak (2012), to refer to a concept that is all-encompassing and encompasses a variety of mobility and geographical interconnection in its scope. On the other hand, Grillo and Riccio (2004) and Ma (2002) attempt to achieve conceptual coherence by integrating these words within the framework of a variety of social theories, such as Bourdieu and Giddens. They do this.

Translocality can be characterized as the creation of relationships that extend beyond the bounds of a village community, as stated by Tenhunen (2011: 416, n.1). Translocality can be understood as a spatial setting in which novel manifestations of (post)national identity are generated, as suggested by Mandaville (2002, page 204). The notion of translocality, which was presented by Freitag and von Oppen (2010a, page 5), functions as an additional term to characterize "the collective manifestations arising from various processes of circulation and transfer" (page 118). This definition was provided by Freitag and von Oppen. Therefore, academics use this viewpoint to question the preexisting geographical limits that are typically associated with area studies. They do this by highlighting the fact that the world is made up of 121 interrelated systems that operate across many levels, which collectively shape and sustain spatial inequality. The translocal approach lays an emphasis on the contradictory and varied effects that are produced as a result of the interconnection between a large number of locations, institutions, and players. Moving away from an approach that is linear and toward an approach that is more open and flexible allows for a more comprehensive evaluation of the processes involved.

This perspective makes this possible. This viewpoint overcomes the limitations imposed by nationalist historiographies, making it easier to gain a deeper comprehension of the ways in which non-Eurocentric dynamics of entanglement and interconnectedness have shaped the progression of world history. 128 is the value in numerical form that was supplied by the user. Oakes and Schein (2006b) use the idea of translocality to emphasize how important these processes are by concentrating on

the many different types of mobility that are practiced in modern-day China. The text provided by the user is already scholarly. An individual's identification with several locales is central to the idea of translocality (Oakes and Schein, 2006a, p. xiii), which can be seen as a defining trait of the concept. As a consequence of this, the method described above is implemented inside of a comprehensive framework in order to efficiently supervise and coordinate 132 distinct locations and movements.

Authors such as Brickell and Datta (2011a) make use of the concept that was just discussed in order to construct a strategy that focuses on agency and handles the complications that are related with the dynamics being discussed. In their research that was completed and released in 2013, the writers investigate the agents' "simultaneous situatedness across different locales" by utilizing Bourdieu's theoretical frameworks of habitus and social fields (ibid, page 4). In an academic setting, the response that was provided does not provide adequate context to be understood. Kindly supply additional details or elucidate your statement, thank you. According to Brickell and Datta (2011a, page 13), habitus facilitates the coexistence of the "material, spatial, and embodied" with the "translocal." This coexistence is the result of the interactions between mobile and immobile actors across multiple scales, and it is influenced by the context of the area in which they live and the activities that they do on a daily basis.

Individuals who are mobile and those who are not engage in competition with one another and make strenuous efforts within translocal social domains, which are characterized by shifting power dynamics, in order to obtain advantageous positions and power for themselves. According to Kelly and Lusi (2006), this phenomena is brought about by the transfer of a variety of various types of capital, each of which possesses varying degrees of value across a variety of different levels of analysis. According to Massey (1991, page 25), this perspective acknowledges the concept of the "power geometry of time space compression," which calls attention to a number of factors including the differential mobility of individuals, the distinct power dynamics that are inherent in flows and movements, and the coexistence of power and vulnerability across a variety of locations. Hedberg and do Carmo (2012a) use the idea of translocality to shed light on the many different spatial dimensions that are brought about by mobility.

These dimensions contain a total of 148 interconnected facets. This particular approach throws into question the concept of container spaces, as well as the distinction between "here" and "there," as well as "rural" and "urban" (Steinbrink 2009, Greiner 2010). The idea of translocality refers to the growth of interconnected networks that make it possible for people, ideas, resources, and behaviors to flow in a number of different directions. Steinbrink (2009, page 153) indicates that translocal networks are influenced by the actions of individuals, while also presenting a conceptual foundation for comprehending such behaviors (also see Greiner and Sakdapolrak, 2012). This is in accordance with Giddens' Structuration Theory (Giddens, 1984). According to Verne (2012, page 17), it is essential to keep in mind that the concept of translocality encompasses more than just the simple incorporation of a translocal scale between "the global" and "the local." The author claims that using this

methodology would serve to support the concept of clearly defined and hierarchical scales, both of which are fundamental to the field of research on transnationalism. A translocal approach, on the other hand, is founded on the conclusions gained from studies conducted on a larger scale, as shown by the research conducted by Smith (1995), Agnew (1997), Delaney (162), Leitner (1997), and Swyngedouw (1997), amongst others. According to the results of this research, socio-spatial scales are comprised of a number of distinct qualities.

To begin, rather than being predetermined, they are the product of social construction. Second, they simultaneously demonstrate flexibility and solidity in their behavior. Last but not least, Brown and Purcell (2005, page 609) point out that they are essentially interrelated with one another. In order to appreciate the dynamic character and impacts of a variety of socio-spatial phenomena (Amelina 2010), the translocal approach acknowledges the significance of intermediary arrangements, fluidity, and intermingling processes (Verne 2012, pp. 17-18). In conclusion, the authors make use of the idea of translocality to provide a comprehensive, actor-centric, and multidimensional grasp of complex social-spatial interactions. According to Brickell and Datta (2011a, page 3), the central idea of translocality can be summed up as "the condition of being situated while in a state of mobility." This definition provides a concise explanation of the concept.

By adopting a translocal point of view, the authors want to achieve their goal of integrating the ideas of stability, rootedness, and localized presence in specific regions with the ideas of flexibility and discontinuity associated to various types of mobility, movement, and circulation. This will be done by combining the two sets of notions. When discussing the idea of translocality, authors frequently make references, in addition to those that have been listed above, to a wide variety of mobilities and movements. The numerical value 177. How exactly are the ideas of groundedness and situatedness further conceptualized in this context? All of the questions raised up until this point will be answered in the 178 following parts. The user has provided the number 179 in their response. The notions of movement, fluctuation, and immobility are essential to the current conversation for a number of reasons. In total, there are 180 stages to complete.

It is essential to have a comprehensive awareness of mobilities, flows, and movements, as well as the function that these things play in forming connections across 182 different dimensions, in order to have a proper comprehension of translocality. The whereabouts of 183 persons are the primary focus of the investigations at this point. The scope of this topic goes beyond transnational migration and encompasses an additional 184 categories of internal migration. These categories include day-to-day movements and commuting inside metropolitan regions, as well as migrations from rural areas to urban centers (Hedberg and do Carmo 186, 2012b). Despite this, a great number of authors are not solely focused on the process of directing performers.

There is also an emphasis placed on the immobility of 187 demographic categories as a crucial component of connectedness (Brickell and Datta, 2011a; Rau, 2012). According to Sun (2006, page 240), this process requires actively engaging with

individuals who own recollections of 191 separate encounters with familiar localities, as well as those who engage in talks, 190 conjectures, and imaginative speculations referring to specific places. In addition, this process entails actively engaging with individuals who own recollections of 191 distinct encounters with unfamiliar locales. These viewpoints serve as a reminder that people that are mobile as well as populations who are static continuously contribute to the formation of translocal areas (192). As seen in the scenario that is experienced rather frequently, the 193 circumstance, the process of negotiating the physical co-presence of a large number of individuals in a confined space may be a difficult and time-consuming endeavor.

Conclusion

An increasing number of sociospatial dynamics are characterized by a number of important features, one of which is the interaction between the ideas of mobility and localization. The framework of translocality has been used to conduct a more in-depth investigation of, as well as analysis of, this intricate relationship. The approach that is outlined in this research draws on the discoveries made by the well-established transnationalism research tradition. The goal of this strategy is to go beyond the traditional research tradition's limited focus on nation-states. Our contention is that the extension of the analytic scope was not only long overdue but also of the utmost significance. The primary focus of the research on translocality is on the investigation of many expressions of mobility that go beyond simple human locomotion. These works focus particular emphasis on the micro level and local-to-local interactions, relying upon a relational understanding of place, in order to explicate socio-spatial phenomena.

This is accomplished by drawing upon a relational understanding of place. Approaches that are translocal share some similarities with those that are being developed for "grounded 387 transnationalism." We contend that it is worthwhile to investigate translocalism as an emerging research subject despite the fact that a large number of authors fail to differentiate and recognize translocalism as a distinct analytical word. According to our analysis of previously developed theories and actual implementations, it is patently obvious that translocality possesses the ability to effectively address significant hurdles in socio-spatial research, which come to a total of 392 problems. This conclusion is based on the fact that translocality demonstrates potential in effectively confronting major challenges. In conclusion, we would want to stress a number of important issues in order to wrap up this inquiry. 393. At first, the idea of translocality might serve as a useful starting point for interrogating the binary geographical ideas of core and periphery, as well as space and place (Agnew, 2005).

This would be the case if translocality were to be considered a geographical phenomenon. 396 to 496 is the range of numbers that have been provided. A more in-depth investigation into the temporal dynamics, path dependencies, and spatial-temporal connections of socio-spatial phenomena is made possible by adopting an actor-oriented viewpoint on the social construction of translocality. The concept becomes much more alert to the role of power in connection to the dynamics of flows and movements, and it demonstrates an increased level of complexity in recognizing the social distinctions that are inherent in these processes (Massey, 1991, p. 401, 25f).

Additionally, when investigating alternate historiographies of globalization, there is a shift in emphasis, since the major focus moves away from the nation state. This results in a change in focus.

In addition to this, the concept of translocality emphasizes the absence of hierarchical relationships and the arrangement of substantial spatial dimensions that extend beyond national entities. 406 specifically highlights the significance of networked locations, which are described as being "constructed on a larger scale than our current definition of place," according to a statement made by Doreen Massey in 407 (1991: 28). In addition to this, the concept of translocality makes it possible to investigate numerous forms of movement other than human migration. This source provides a fascinating vantage point from which to investigate topics such as the impact of globalization on people who do not migrate and the collaborative production of connectivity between those who are mobile and those who are immovable. The reason for this is due to the fact that Rule 410 is concerned with, among other things, the circulation of ideas, symbols, and information. The concept of translocality lays a significant emphasis on local aspects, drawing attention to the changes that are taking place in the environment's physical and ecological components. These changes can be seen in agricultural systems, metropolitan areas, and riparian zones, among other locations.

Translocal research has the potential to make a meaningful addition to the continuing global discourse on environmental change by shedding light on the importance of the interchange of ideas, movement of individuals, and allocation of resources. This is because translocal research can shed light on the importance of the exchange of ideas, movement of individuals, and allocation of resources. This review presents the finding that translocality is a vibrant and expanding area of research that provides a relevant and current framework for examining the social and spatial dynamics in a globalized world characterized by increased human mobility. This review also shows the finding that translocality is a relevant and contemporary framework for examining the social and spatial dynamics in a globalized world.

References

Adepoju, A. (2006). Internal and international migration within Africa. In: Kok, P., Gelderblom, D., 424 Ouko, J. O. and van Zyl J., (eds), Migration in South and Southern Africa. Cape Town: HSRC Press, pp. 26-45. 426 Agnew, J. (2005). Space:Place. In: Cloke, P. and Johnston, R., (eds), Spaces of Geographical Thought: 427 Deconstructing Human Geographies Binaries. London: Sage pp. 81-96. 428

Amelina, A. (2010). Scaling Inequalities? Some Steps towards the Social Inequality Analysis in 429 Migration Research beyond the Framework of the Nation State. Bielefeld. 430 Appadurai, A. (2003). Modernity at Large. Cultural Dimensions of Globalization. Minneapolis; London: 431 University of Minnesota Press. 432 Argenti, N., and Rösenthaler, U. (2006). Introduction: Between Cameroon and Cuba: Youth, slave 433 trades and translocal memoryscapes. Social Anthropology 14(01), pp. 33-47. 434

Banerjee, S. B. (2011). Voices of the Governed: towards a theory of the translocal. *Organization* 18(3), pp. 323-344. 436
Basch, L., Glick Schiller, N., and Szanton Blanc C. (1995). *Nations Unbound. Transnational Projects, Postcolonial Predicaments, and Deterritorialized Nation-States*. Basel: Gordon and Breach 438
Publishers. 439

Bennett, A., and Peterson, R. A. (eds) (2004). *Music scenes: local, translocal & virtual*. Nashville: Vanderbilt University Press. 440
Bontenbal, M., and Lindert, P. v. (2011). Municipal partnerships for local development in the Global South? Understanding connections and context from a translocal perspective. *International Development Planning Review* 33(4), pp. 445-461. 444

Brickell, K. (2011). Translocal Geographies of “home” in siem reap, Cambodia. In: Brickell, K. and A. Datta (eds), *Translocal Geographies: Spaces, Places, Connections*. Farnham: Ashgate, pp. 23-446 38. 447

Brickell, K., and Datta, A. (2011a). Introduction: Translocal Geographies. In: Brickell, K. and Datta, A., (eds), *Translocal Geographies Spaces, Places, Connections*. Farnham: Ashgate, pp. 3-20. 449 — (eds) (2011b). *Translocal Geographies. Spaces, Places, Connections*.

Farnham: Ashgate. 450
Casey, E. S. (1996). How to Get from Space to Place in a Fairly Short Stretch of Time: Phenomenological Prolegomena. In: Feld, S. and Basso, K. H., (eds), *Senses of Place*. Santa Fe: The School of American Research, pp. 13-52. 453

Castells, M. (2000). *The Information Age: Economy, Society and Culture*. Oxford: Blackwell. 454
Castree, N. (2004). Differential geographies: place, indigenous rights and ‘local’ resources. *Political Geography* 23, pp. 133–167.

Centner, R. (2011). Ways out of crisis in Buenos Aires: Translocal landscapes and the activation of Mobile resources. In: Brickell, K. and Datta, A., (eds), *Translocal Geographies: Spaces, Places, Connections*. Farnham: Ashgate, pp. 109–124. 459

Chacko, E. (2011). Translocality in Washington, D.C. and Addis Ababa: Spaces and Linkages of the Ethiopian Diaspora in Two Capital Cities. In: Brickell, K. and Datta, A., (eds), *Translocal Geographies Spaces, Places, Connections*. Farnham: Ashgate, pp. 163-178. 462

Christou, A. (2011). Translocal spatial Geographies. Multi-sited Encounters of Greek Migrants in Athens, Berlin, and New York. In: Brickell, K. and Datta, A. (eds), *Translocal Geographies: Spaces, Places, Connections*. Farnham: Ashgate, pp. 145-162 465

Chongyi, F., and Changzhi, Z. (2006). Openness, change, and translocality. New migrants' 466 identification with Hainan. In: Oakes, T. and Schein, L., (eds), *Translocal China. Linkages, 467 Identities, and the reimaging of space*. New York: Routledge, pp. 74-92. 468

Conradson, D., and McKay, D. (2007). *Translocal Subjectivities: Mobility, Connection, Emotion*. 469 *Mobilities* 2(2), pp. 167-174. 470

Datta, A. (2011). *Translocal Geographies of Farnham. Belonging and "otherness" among Polish 471 Migrants after 2004*. In: Brickell, K. and Datta, A. (eds.), *Translocal Geographies: Spaces, 472 Places, Connections*. London: Ashgate, pp. 73-92. 473

De Lima, P. (2012). *Boundary crossing. Migration, belonging/'unbelonging' in rural Scotland*. In: 474 Hedberg C. and Do Carmo M. R., (eds)), *Translocal Ruralism Mobility and Connectivity in 475 European Rural Spaces*. Heidelberg: Springer, pp. 203-218. 476

Delaney, D. and Leitner, H. (1997): *The political construction of scale. Political Geography* 16 (2), pp. 477 93-97. 478 DELEUZE, G. and GUATTARI, F. (1976). *Rhizome*. Minuit: Paris. 479

Do Carmo, M. R., and Santos, S. (2012). *Between marginalisation and urbanisation. mobilities and 480 social change in Southern Portugal*. In: Hedberg C. and Do Carmo M. R., (eds)), *Translocal 481 Ruralism Mobility and Connectivity in European Rural Spaces*. Heidelberg: Springer, pp. 13-34. 482

Escobar, A. (2001). *Culture sits in places: reflections on globalism and subaltern strategies of 483 localization*. *Political Geography* 20, pp. 139-174. 484

Faist, T., and Reisenauer, E. (2009). *Introduction: Migration(s) and Development(s): Transformation 485 of Paradigms, Organisations and Gender Orders*. *Sociologus* 59(1), pp. 1-16. 486

Featherstone, D. (2011). *On assemblage and articulation*. *Area* 43(2), pp. 139-142. 487

Freitag, U., and von Oppen, A. (2010a). *Introduction. "Translocality": An Approach to Connection and 488 Transfer in Area Studies*. In: Freitag, U. and von Oppen, A., (eds), *Translocality: The Study of 489 Globalising Processes from a Southern Perspective*. Leiden: Brill, pp. 490 — (eds) (2010b). *Translocality: The Study of Globalising Processes from a Southern Perspective*. 491 Leiden: Brill.

Gan, W. (2006). *"Net-moms" - a new place and a new identity*. In: Oakes, T. and Schein, L., (eds), 493 *Translocal China Linkages, Identities, and the reimaging of space*. New York: Routledge, pp. 494 155-165. 495

Geschiere P 2009 *The Perils of Belonging. Autochthony, Citizenship, and Exclusion in Africa & Europe* 496 Chicago, University of Chicago Press. 497 Giddens, A. (1984). *The Constitution of Society. Outline of the Theory of Structuration*. Cambridge: 498 Polity Press. 499 Gottowik, V. (2010). *Transnational, Translocal, Transcultural: Some Remarks on the Relations* 500 between Hindu-Balinese and Ethnic Chinese in Bali. *Sojourn: Journal of Social Issues in* 501 *Southeast Asia* 25(2), pp. 178-212. 502

Gupta A., and Ferguson J. (1992). *Beyond "Culture": Space, Identity, and the Politics of Difference*. 503 *Cultural Anthropology* 7(1), pp. 6-23. 504

Greiner, C. (2010). *Patterns of Translocality: Migration, Livelihoods and Identity in Northwest* 505 *Namibia*. *Sociologus* 60(2), pp. 131-161. 506 — (2011). *Translocal Networks and Stratification in Namibia*. *Africa: Journal of the International* 507 *African Institute* 81(4), pp. 606-627. 508

Greiner C., and Sakdapolrak P. (2012) *Rural–urban migration, agrarian change, and the environment* 509 in Kenya: a critical review of the literature. *Population & Environment*, pp. 1-30 (online first) 510

Grillo, R., and Riccio, B. (2004). *Translocal development: Italy–Senegal*. *Population, Space and Place* 10(2), pp. 99-111. 512

Guarnizo, L. E., and Smith, M. P. (1998). *The Locations of Transnationalism*. In: Smith M. P. and 513 Guarnizo L. E., (eds), *Transnationalism from Below*. New Brunswick, London: Transaction 514 Publishers, pp. 3-34. 515

Guran-Nica, L., and Sofer, M. (2012). *Migration dynamics in Romania and teh counter-urbanisation* 516 process. A case study of Bucharest's rural urban fringe. In: Hedberg C. and Do Carmo M. R., 517 (eds)), *Translocal Ruralism Mobility and Connectivity in European Rural Spaces*. Heidelberg: 518 Springer, pp. 87-102. 519

Hall, S., and Datta, A. (2010). *The translocal street: Shop signs and local multi-culture along the* 520 *Walworth Road, south London*. *City, Culture and Society* 1(2), pp. 69-77. 521

Hannerz U. (1996). *Transnational Connections: Culture, People, Places*. London: Routledge. 522 Hatfield, M. E. (2011). *British Families Moving Home: Translocal Geographies of Return Migration* 523 *from Singapore*. In: Brickell, K. and Datta, A. (eds), *Translocal Geographies: Spaces, Places, 524 Connections*. Lodon: Ashgate, pp. 55-70. 525

Hedberg, C., and do Carmo, R. M. (2012a). *Translocal Ruralism: Mobility and Connectivity in* 526 *European Rural Spaces*. In: Hedberg, C. and do Carmo, R. M., (eds),

Translocal Ruralism 527 Mobility and Connectivity in European Rural Spaces. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 1-9.

— (eds) (2012b). *Translocal Ruralism: Mobility and Connectivity in European Rural Spaces*. Dordrecht: Springer. 530 Helvoirt, B. v. (2011). Globalisation and regional development chains: the impact of Metro Cebu on regional development in the Central Visayas, Philippines. *International Development Planning Review* 33(4), pp. 389-407. 533

Horta, A. P. B. (2002). Transnational Networks and the local politics of migrants grassroots organization in post-colonial Portugal. *Transnational Communities Programme Working Paper Series No. WPTC 02-03*. Oxford. 536 Kaag, M. (2011). Connecting to the umma through Islamic relief: transnational Islamic NGOs in Chad. *International Development Planning Review* 33(4), pp. 463-474. 538

Kelly, P. & T. Lusi (2006): Migration and the transnational habitus: evidence from Canada and the Philippines. *Environment and Planning A* 38, pp. 831-847. 540 Kokot, W. (2007). Culture and Space – anthropological approaches. *Ethnoscripts* 9, pp. 10-23. 541