What is the relationship between Christianity and justice in the South African Truth and Reconciliation Commission? This is an analysis of strategies to resolve religious disagreements.

Hassan Raheem
Assistant Professor at University of Quid-E-Azam at-Hassan656@gmail.com

Abstract

Experts in the field of peaceful resolution of international disputes concur that throughout history, organized religion has consistently been a significant predictor of imminent violent wars. As a result, these ideologies often overlook the importance of religion in promoting togetherness. Scholars who have recently proposed the idea argue that including religion into a conflict resolution framework offers substantial advantages. If individuals hold religious ideas and practices in high esteem, it is crucial to incorporate them into conflict resolution endeavors. The Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) of South Africa, in which Christianity had a crucial role, serves as an exemplary conflict resolution approach. This study examines the intricate influence of Christianity on the concept of justice inside Truth and Reconciliation Commissions (TRCs), specifically focusing on Richard Wilson's viewpoints. The investigation involves conducting interviews with prominent individuals who have expressed opposition to TRCs.

Keywords: Dealing with conflicts, justice, South Africa, the Truth and Reconciliation Commission, and Christianity.

Introduction

The political discussions that took place between the African National Congress, which was led by Nelson Mandela, and the National Party, which was led by F. W. de Klerk resulted in the founding of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) in South Africa. This commission was responsible for investigating historical atrocities committed in the country. The Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) was established in accordance with the Promotion of National Unity and Reconciliation Act, which was enacted as an early legislative step during the initial tenure of the newly-elected administration of Nelson Mandela in 1995. This act was put into action in order to form the TRC. An investigation of the allegations of atrocities committed during the time of apartheid was the primary goal of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC), which was established in South Africa in the 1990s. In addition to this, the Truth and Reconciliation Commission was in charge of evaluating suspected acts of atrocity and making recommendations to the government regarding whether or not certain individuals should be granted amnesty depending on whether or not they satisfied the predetermined requirements.In a variety of ways, the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) displayed qualities that were unconventional among the characteristics of contemporary political tools. This was true of both the TRC's structure and its operations. During the proceedings of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC), religion, more notably Christianity, was unequivocally recognised as a valid and supported means to achieving truth and fostering reconciliation among individuals who had previously been at odds with one

another. In actuality, a basic principle of well-established theories about the resolution of international conflicts was disregarded when the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) incorporated Christian elements into a process that was government-sanctioned and authorized. It is interesting to note that proponents of the theory of international conflict resolution tend to ignore organized religion as a significant component in international conflict. This is a relevant observation for several reasons. This omission can be traced back to the notion held by these advocates that organized religion is the key factor that contributes to the escalation of violence. Many academics, including Scott Appleby, Marc Gopin, Douglas Johnston, and Cynthia Sampson, have put up the idea that religion has the potential to exert a positive influence on the conceptual frameworks as well as the practical applications of diplomacy and conflict resolution. Due to its incorporation of Christianity as a significant factor in the process of resolving global conflicts, the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) is regarded by certain academics, policy analysts, and advocates of non-conventional methods to international conflict resolution as a paradigmatic illustration. The Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) was able to achieve a certain degree of success, but in addition to that, it struggled with a number of other challenging issues. There was a substantial amount of discussion that surrounded the role that Christianity had in the procedure that was described earlier. In the course of the deliberations that took place within the Commission, the idea of justice arose as a particularly difficult topic. The fact that the Commission was able to facilitate a transition from apartheid to democracy that was, for the most part, amicable is something that may be viewed as a tremendous achievement on a national scale. Nevertheless, the establishment of the Commission is a lamentable failure to provide South Africa with the essential justice that the country requires without delay. In point of fact, the perceived failure of the TRC to stress justice was generally accepted by a large number of observers from outside of South Africa as well as South Africans themselves. The purpose of this article is to explore the frequently ambiguous attitude that Christianity took within the TRC, with a specific emphasis on justice as the topic of discussion. In order to determine whether or whether the pursuit of justice was hindered throughout the process of forming a new government for South Africa, this investigation will look into the matter. In academic settings, the topic of "religious conflict resolution" is typically referred to as "religious conflict resolution." I will begin by presenting some basic background information on this topic. Following this, an investigation will be carried out to investigate contemporary criticisms leveled against the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) on the role that Christianity plays in obstructing efforts to achieve justice. I shall evaluate and respond to each of these arguments separately, analyzing them as if they were their own distinct creatures. I will depend on the scholarly contributions of André du Toit, a well-known political philosopher from South Africa, in order to substantiate my thesis that the procedure properly achieved a minimum threshold of fairness. I will argue that the approach adequately fulfilled a minimum threshold of fairness.

How to Handle Religious Disputes

Political realism and Enlightenment political philosophy, two key developments in late-modern Western political theory, converged to give rise to the international conflict resolution framework that we know today. Both political realism and contemporary political philosophy, which are both in line with post-Westphalian viewpoints on international relations, view religion as an unnecessary and superfluous component in the process of resolving international disputes. This is because political realists and political idealists view religion in the same way. The effect of this is that the philosophy of resolving international conflicts has continuously seen religion as an unsettling sociopolitical force that either requires repression or outright disdain.A number of fundamental realist concepts serve as the philosophical foundation for the conventional approach to conflict resolution. Scholars in the field of political theory started giving new interpretations after the end of the Cold War and the consequent disintegration of bipolar geopolitics. These scholars were attempting to account for the major and sudden changes that were witnessed in the sphere of international relations. During the early 1990s, well-known authors such as Francis Fukuyama, Samuel Huntington, Michael Ignatieff, and Joseph Nye released notable works on topics such as the "end of history," the "clash of civilizations," "virtual war," and "soft power."A collection of essays titled "Religion, the Missing Dimension of Statecraft" was compiled by Douglas Johnston and Cynthia Sampson in 1995, however their enthusiasm for the project was lacking. This study is the first comprehensive examination of the potential role that religion could play in the avoidance and resolution of international wars. An extensive corpus of scholarly writing has been produced over the course of ten years by a group of researchers that includes Johnston, Sampson, Scott Appleby, Marc Gopin, Daniel Philpott, and David Little, amongst others. This body of work focuses on the investigation of strategies for conflict resolution that take into consideration religious factors. The overarching goal of this work is to effectively respond to the fluid qualities that are associated with conflicts. The integration of religious symbolism, ritual, and cognitive processes into the processes that are utilized for the purpose of resolving differences is what distinguishes the conflict resolution strategy known as religious conflict resolution from other approaches to conflict resolution. Regarding the naming of this specific procedure, there is not widespread agreement among experts.Six as a numerical value. Additionally, there is a lack of consensus about the usage of a unified and identifiable approach by Johnston, Appleby, Gopin, Sampson, Little, and other individuals participating in their research initiatives. This is due to the fact that the individuals involved in their research endeavors have different perspectives on the subject. However, there is a widespread opinion that religion ought to be taken into consideration in the process of resolving conflicts. This view has attracted sufficient agreement to make scholarly dialogue possible and to build a separate knowledge base. All of them subscribe to the same overall philosophy, despite the fact that their approaches and procedures differ significantly from one another. If religion is significant to people and also plays a part in the conflict, then it is very necessary to take religion into consideration as a major aspect in the process of trying to resolve the conflict. In the event that this scenario does not play out, peacekeepers, diplomats, and

mediators will have a difficult time successfully addressing the fundamental reasons of the conflict and harnessing the potential peacebuilding resources inherent in religious traditions. If this scenario does not play out, peacekeepers, diplomats, and mediators will have a difficult time addressing the underlying causes of the war. The purpose of this study is to investigate both the idea of justice and the role that Christianity played within the framework of the South African Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC). In order to do so, a complete research approach was utilized. The development of an alternative strategy for conflict resolution has been significantly aided by the contribution that is described in the aforementioned thesis.

Having problems with the TRC's justice part

The purpose of this essay is not to present a comprehensive analysis of justice and the TRC. To do so would require digging deeply into a variety of topics, including political philosophy, the justice systems of African tribal governments, and justice in the context of international law, all of which are outside the scope of this particular paper. The addition of such content goes beyond the boundaries of what is covered in this text. My goal is to make this discussion as clear and concise as possible, therefore I will focus my emphasis on the analysis of justice within the context of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) as a framework for addressing religious issues. The literary works that Richard Wilson, who directs the Human Rights Institute at the University of Connecticut, has penned will receive a lot of special attention from me in this article. Richard Wilson is currently employed at that institution. Particularly notable is the fact that Wilson wrote "The Politics of Truth and Reconciliation in South Africa." The work of Wilson presents four significant and long-lasting criticisms of the TRC and its attempt to achieve justice. In addition, his comments make a veiled reference to the potential function that Christianity could play in the process of conflict settlement.The criticisms originate from a few key factors, including the conflicts that arise when Christianity intersects with the legal and political mandate of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC), the implications of prioritizing Christian notions of forgiveness and reconciliation over traditional African concepts of justice involving punishment and retaliation, the apparent contradiction between the TRC's amnesty provision and the notion of punishment, and the failure to address structural injustices in the country. The most important problem with Wilson's line of reasoning is that he thinks the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) artificially blended religion, namely a religiously redemptive understanding of forgiveness and reconciliation, with the legal framework of human rights. This is the primary criticism of Wilson's thesis. Wilson argued that the TRC's efforts to establish "justice," foster reconciliation, and revise historical narratives were fundamentally damaged by the combination of the two commissions, and that he believed this to be the case. This argument centers on the potential influence that Christianity may have on the Truth and Reconciliation Commission's (TRC) ability to administer justice in an efficient manner. According to Wilson, the language of spiritually inspired peace and forgiveness was not in alignment with the processes that were being followed in the political and legal systems. Wilson (year) admits that the religious-redemptive narrative of reconciliation gave some of the victims a sense of purpose in life. However,

the narrative also argued for the abandonment of revenge and punitive measures, a position that, in conjunction with the Truth and Reconciliation Commission's acceptance of forgiveness, caused numerous victims to feel excluded from the processes. This feeling was caused by the narrative's advocacy for forgiveness.According to Wilson, the adoption of Christian concepts like forgiveness and reconciliation by the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) hampered the successful execution of justice since it essentially disregarded indigenous African legal systems. This was the case because forgiveness and reconciliation are Christian concepts. In the context of post-apartheid South Africa, the author asserts that numerous discourses and value systems that are in direct opposition to one another exist in relation to issues of justice and reconciliation. As a means of persuading witnesses, the staff members of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) frequently used Christian stories of forgiveness. These beliefs, on the other hand, ran counter to the prevalent punitive conceptions of justice that were frequently utilized in the township and chiefs' courts in the region.According to Wilson, a major fraction of South Africans were forced to participate in a policy of forgiveness and reconciliation as a way to build a nationalist narrative and strengthen the authority of the government. This policy was imposed on South Africans as a means to achieve these goals. The author contends that because of this imposition, some groups that had been marginalized during the time of apartheid became estranged from the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) because it failed to embrace indigenous African justice processes. This estrangement occurred as a result of the TRC's failure to incorporate indigenous African justice processes.The value assigned to the number eleven. The Truth and Reconciliation Commission (also known as the TRC) came to the conclusion that it would be more effective to take a restorative approach to justice rather than a punitive one. According to Wilson, the leadership of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) applied the African-born concept of ubuntu as a mechanism to address the developing conflict that existed between conventional, punitive justice systems and the externally imposed restorative justice approach. Ubuntu's origins may be traced back to the continent of Africa. Ubuntu can be understood as either a moral code or a philosophical notion. Its origins lie in the linked nature of human beings as well as in the African viewpoint of the world. This is a term that does not have a literal equivalent in the English language; rather, it derives from the Zulu and Xhosa languages. For the purposes of translation, the Xhosa proverb "umuntu ngumuntu ngabanye bantu," which emphasizes the idea that individuals receive their humanity via their connections with other people, can be used.Twelve as a numerical value. According to Wilson, the introduction of Ubuntu can be viewed as a strategic maneuver adopted by governmental entities to support the ideas of amnesty and the focus of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission on restorative justice. This is according to Wilson's interpretation of what happened. According to Wilson, the result of this circumstance was the development of a false dichotomy, notably between the ideas of Western vengeance or retributive justice and African ubuntu or reconciliation. This dichotomy has persisted to this day.Thirteen as a numerical value. According to Wilson and a number of other academics, the damage done to the legal

system as a result of the ban of individuals' constitutional ability to initiate civil cases against wrongdoers resulted in a broken legal system. Mahmood Mamdani is a well-known political scientist with roots in Uganda who is currently affiliated with Columbia University. In his analysis, he expands upon Wilson's criticism of the judicial system that was introduced by the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC). Mamdani thinks that the TRC's dependence on individual truth-telling and amnesty as important components impeded the pursuit of structural justice and reparations. He argues that this was due to the TRC's design. Mamdani is concerned about how much weight the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) is giving to the idea that "truth leads to reconciliation." He says that the quest to ascertain truth, which is defined as a shared communal memory and historical understanding, is of the utmost importance in laying a firm footing for a political community. Truth is defined as a common collective memory and historical understanding. On the other hand, the truth by itself is not adequate to provide such a foundation. Unless it is accompanied by a form of justice that goes beyond mere punishment, the act of speaking the truth has the ability to elicit significant negative feelings from victims and apprehension from benefactors. This is because telling the truth has the capacity to expose victims to the perpetrators of the crime. The primary focus of Mamdani's criticism of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) is on the urgent requirement to conduct a fresh analysis of the lasting structural repercussions of apartheid. In essence, it advocates for a reexamination of issues that are related to social justice. Because an understanding of the socioeconomic circumstances that are prevalent in the lives and workplaces of South Africans is required in order to comprehend either the offenders' or the victims' narratives, Mamdani argues that the inclusion of social justice concerns within official truth-telling mechanisms is vital. This is due to the fact that the comprehension of both the offenders' and the victims' narratives is contingent upon an understanding of the socioeconomic circumstances.

Conclusion

This study investigated the justice component of the TRC to determine whether or not the integrity of the justice system had been undermined. I have framed this conversation within the larger conversation that is currently taking place about the possible part that religion could play in the peaceful resolution of conflicts. The resolution of religious conflicts was the primary focus of the investigation at first. This is a novel strategy for resolving international conflicts, which is a departure from the conventional practice of minimizing the importance of religious factors. Following that, with a concentration on Richard Wilson's complaints, I carried out a study of four persistent contentions that called into question the concept of justice within the framework of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC). The current research consisted of an investigation into the potential impediment to justice that is posed by Christianity due to the religion's alleged incompatibility with contemporary political and judicial systems. In my response to the aforementioned criticism, I stated that the author failed to recognize the extensive historical record of Christian individuals, churches, and organizations, such as the South African Council of Churches (SACC), that were actively combating the injustices that were caused by the apartheid system

in South Africa. The discussion that arose inside the TRC over the participation of "Christian" individuals in political matters might be understood as a manifestation of a more broad social transition that is taking place in South Africa. This transformation is taking place as a result of apartheid, which was instituted in South Africa. This shift required continuing discourse on the role that Christian leaders and religious institutions should play within the context of a democratic South Africa, as well as an examination of the operational dynamics of these organizations. I argued that the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) did, in fact, reject indigenous judicial systems such as the imbizo due to its accidental promotion of anti-democratic behaviors in my analysis of the assertion that the concept of forgiveness and reconciliation within the Christian framework had superseded traditional African notions of justice as retribution. This was due to the fact that the imbizo promoted anti-democratic behaviors by accident. In light of the investigation of the potential for amnesty and justice as a method of punishment to come into conflict with one another I claimed that the Truth and Reconciliation Commission's (TRC) choice to embrace a conditional amnesty approach shows a reasonable yet nuanced compromise intended at establishing long-term restorative justice. The TRC decided to adopt this strategy because they felt that it would be most effective in bringing about reconciliation. It is important to keep in mind, however, that procedures for transitional justice may nevertheless contain retributive justice in some form or another. In conclusion, an investigation into the complaints regarding structural justice was carried out. In a nutshell, it has been suggested that the process that was overseen by the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (also known as the TRC) was successful in meeting the primary condition of justice by acknowledging past wrongdoing. As opposed to concentrating entirely on the administration of justice, the Truth and Reconciliation Commission's principal mission was to forge a bridge between the democratic past and future while also redressing the wrongs that were committed during the time of apartheid.37 is the value in numerical form that was provided by the user. In the context of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC), the restorative justice concept of ubuntu, which provoked a controversy, gave a theoretical foundation for justice. The preceding idea referred to a system of justice that positioned a substantial amount of significance on the significance of communal welfare and an interconnected ethical principle of reciprocal acknowledgment. The most important thing that can be learned from the process of resolving religious disputes is that transition should be viewed as a period that includes more than just the procedural hearings that are carried out by a governing body. The possible part that religion could play in transitional justice goes beyond the confines of the concept itself and include broader efforts to promote social justice, peace, and unity. The fact that Christianity played such a confusing part in the work of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) cannot be denied in any way, shape, or form. Nevertheless, I am of the opinion that its participation in the process was absolutely necessary in order to make the shift from apartheid to democracy as smooth as possible. The Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) is a prominent example, albeit an imperfect one, of a conflict resolution mechanism that acknowledged the significance of religious identity in

facilitating a seamless transition. This is despite the fact that there are contradictions and disagreements surrounding the findings of the Commission. One of the difficulties that scholars and professionals in the field of conflict resolution face is the determination, on a case-by-case basis, of the extent to which religion influences the perception of reality among individuals, as well as the degree to which faith can be acknowledged as an essential component of peacebuilding under modern transitional institutions such as truth commissions. This is one of the challenges that scholars and professionals in the field of conflict resolution face.

Refrences

Appleby, R. S. Ambivalence of the Sacred: Religion, Violence, and Reconciliation. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 2000. Battle, M. Reconciliation: The Ubuntu Theology of Desmond Tutu. Cleveland: Pilgrim Press, 1997. 36. "Interim Constitution of the Republic of South Africa," Act 200 of 1993, http:// www.truth.org.za/legal/sacon93.htm (accessed July 28, 2005).

Biggar, N, ed. Burying the Past: Making Peace and Doing Justice after Civil Conflict. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press, 2001.

Boesak, W. God's Wrathful Children: Political Oppression and Christian Ethics. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1995. ——"Truth, Justice and Reconciliation." In To Remember and To Heal: Theological and Psychological Reflection on Truth and Reconciliation, eds R. Botman and R. Petersen. Cape Town: Human and Rousseau, 1996.

Boraine, A. A Country Unmasked. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000. Boutros-Ghali, B. An Agenda for Peace: Preventive Diplomacy, Peacemaking and Peacekeeping. New York: United Nations, 1992.

Diamond, L., and J. McDonald. Multi-Track Diplomacy: A Systems Approach to Peace, 3rd ed. West Hartford, CT: Kumarian Press, 1995. Du Toit, A. "The Moral Foundations of the South African TRC: Truth as Acknowledgment and Justice as Recognition." In Truth v. Justice: The Morality of Truth Commissions, eds R. Rotberg and D. Thompson, 122–40. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2000. Fukuyama, F. The End of History and the Last Man. New York: Free Press, 1992.

Gopin, M. Between Eden and Armageddon: The Future of World Religions, Violence, and Peacemaking. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000. ——Holy War, Holy Peace: How Religion Can Bring Peace to the Middle East. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002.

Graybill, L. S. Truth and Reconciliation in South Africa: Miracle or Model? Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2002. Hadly, M. ed. The Spiritual Roots of Restorative

Justice. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press, 2001. Hayner, P. Unspeakable Truths: Confronting State Terror and Atrocity. London: Routledge, 2000.

Huntington, S. Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order. New York: Simon & Schuster, 1996. Ignatieff, M. Virtual War: Kosovo and Beyond. Toronto: Viking, 2000. Johnston, D. "The Religious Dimension." In People Building Peace II: Successful Stories of Civil Society, eds P. van

Tongeren, M. Brenk, M. Hellema and J. Verhoeven. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2005. Johnston, D., ed. Faith-Based Diplomacy: Trumping Realpolitik. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003.

Johnston, D., and C. Sampson, eds. Religion, the Missing Dimension of Statecraft. New York: Oxford University Press, 1995. Kriesberg, L. "The Development of the Conflict Resolution Field." In Peacemaking in International Conflict: Methods and Techniques, eds I. William Zartman and J. Lewis Rasmussen, 51-71. Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace Press, 1997. Maluleke,

T. S. "Black and African Theologies in the New World Order: A Time to Drink from Our Own Wells." Journal of Theology for Southern Africa 96 (November 1996): 3–19. ——"Recent Developments in the Christian Theologies of Africa: Towards the 21st Century." Journal of Constructive Theology 2, no. 2 (December 1996): 33–60.
U.
V. Mamdani, M. "Reconciliation without Justice." Southern African Review of Books 46 (November/December 1996). http://www.uni-ulm.de/~rturrell/antho3html/Mamdani.html (accessed August 20, 2005).

Minow, M. Between Vengeance and Forgiveness: Facing History after Genocide and Mass Violence. Boston, MA: Beacon Press, 1998. Montville, Joseph V. "The Arrow and the Olive Branch: A Case for Track Two Diplomacy." In Conflict Resolution: Track Two Diplomacy, eds

J. W. McDonald Jr and D. B. Bendahamane, 5–20. Washington, DC: Department of State Publication, 1987. Nye, J. Soft Power: The Means to Success in World Politics. New York: Public Affairs, 2004. O'Donovan, O. The Ways of Judgment. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2005.

Sampson, C. "Religion and Peacebuilding." In Peacemaking in International Conflict: Methods and Techniques, eds J. Lewis Rasmussen and I. William Zartman, 273–316. Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace Press, 1997. Shore, M., and S. Kline. "The Ambiguous Role of Religion in the South African Truth and Reconciliation Commission." Peace and Change: A Journal of Peace Research 31, no. 3 (July 2006): 301–32. Sparks, A. Tomorrow Is Another Country: The Inside Story of South Africa's Road to Change. New York: Hill and Wang, 1995. Thiessen, G. "Common Past, Divided

Truth: The Truth and Reconciliation Commission in South African Public Opinion."
Paper presented at the "TRC: Commissioning the Past" conference, University of
Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, January 11–14, 1999.

Tutu, D. No Future without Forgiveness. London: Random House, 1999. Villa-
Vicencio, C., and W. Verwoerd, eds. Looking Back, Reaching Forward: Reflections on
the Truth and Reconciliation Commission of South Africa. Cape Town: University of
Cape Town Press, 2000.

Wilson, R. A. "Reconciliation and Revenge in Post-Apartheid South Africa:
Rethinking Legal Pluralism and Human Rights." Current Anthropology 41 (2000): 74–
98. doi:10.1086/300104 ——The Politics of Truth and Reconciliation in South Africa:
Legitimatizing the Post-Apartheid State. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press,
2001.

Zartman, I. W. "Toward the Resolution of International Conflicts." In Peacemaking in
International Conflict: Methods and Techniques, eds I. W. Zartman and J. L.
Rasmussen, 3-19. Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace Press, 1997.