

The Causes and Serious Issues Behind Italy's Brain Drain

Uzma Batool

PhD Scholar from University of Peshawar at-uzma5893@gmail.com

Abstract

A highly controversial matter in the Italian context is scientific migration. Abundant evidence, derived from various sources such as academic studies, news reports, and television programs, supports this assertion. Nevertheless, the term "brain drain" has a specific definition that sets it apart from the more contemporary phrases "brain circulation" or "brain exchange," which are frequently employed to describe the movement of scientists between various European countries. The term "brain drain" refers to the emigration of highly educated individuals from one country to another. This essay utilizes a comparative methodology to explore the fundamental aspects that characterize the phenomenon of scientific mobility in Italy. The objective of this study is to ascertain the reasons that contribute to the emigration of outstanding Italians from their nation, and a method based on comparison will aid in achieving this goal. This study further corroborates previous research findings that participants' perspectives in the MOBEX project have a significant impact on how the media presents the topic being studied. The primary objective of the MOBEX project is to examine the correlation between mobility and quality in fields associated with the physical and natural sciences. This sets the stage for a more comprehensive analysis of the primary barriers linked to scientific mobility in Italy. An exhaustive understanding of the attributes of this particular migration can be achieved by juxtaposing the accounts of migrant scientists with the articles in the media. The primary focus of study lies in the comparison and contrast between these two categories of social agents.

Introduction

The Royal Society popularized the term "brain drain" to describe the exodus of educated professionals from the United Kingdom to the United States and Canada in the late 1950s and early 1960s (Carrington & Detragiache, 1999; Cervantes & Guellec, 2002). According to recent studies (Johnson & Regets, 1998; OECD, 2002; Meyer, 2003; Ackers, 2005), "brain circulation" is the more pertinent topic for discussion at this time. Meyer (2003) claims that modern migration has changed in ways that separate it from the stereotypical features that gave rise to the term "brain drain" (p. 2). The rise of

new forms of mobility with a global impact has implications for both developed and developing countries. These kinds of mobility are distinguished by their transient rather than permanent nature and by their directional rather than unidirectionality. In contrast to what happened in the past, modern migrants take use of distance learning opportunities and keep close ties to their home countries. According to Vincent-Lancrin (2004), highly educated people often do not fully emigrate because they maintain ties to their home countries, participate in reinvestment activities, send remittances, and even make temporary returns. The fact that scientists who leave their home nation still keep in touch with their old colleagues back home is not enough to disprove the reality of brain drain. The continued emigration of scientists has serious implications for the future of the domestic research and development (R&D) sector in a number of countries, including Italy. Therefore, it is not surprising that the "brain drain," or the departure of Italian scientists, has been a topic of discussion on the Italian political left (Roncone, 2000; Zecchinelli, 2000; Pelizon, 2002; Peri, 2002). It's important to remember, nevertheless, that government actions to lessen the effects of this phenomenon are rarely put into action (Hunter, 2003). According to the news, Italy's intellectual capital is on the decline and the country is struggling to reverse the trend. For this reason, the term "exodus" is often used to describe the event at hand (Hellemans, 2001, pp. 1ff.). Despite its contribution of outstanding persons to Europe and the Americas, Italy's ability to attract international scientists is limited (Hansen, 2003, p. 7). Compared to other European countries like Germany, France, and the United Kingdom, Italy does not have a large population of international students. Both the popular press and academics have acknowledged the trend of skilled workers migrating to other countries. However, there is a lack of complete quantitative statistics on the precise number of scientists who have left or are currently leaving Italy. There is a dearth of data about scientific emigrants in the offered content. The data included in the paper, titled "Italian Statistics on Research and Development for the Year 2004," cover the time period 2002–2004. Research and development (R&D) spending figures for both the government and the private sector are included. Data on highly skilled migration is very hard to come by, and even when it is, it is usually subject to a number of restrictions (Avvenuto & Brandi, 2003). According to Morano-Foadi (2005), "R&D surveys," "S&T surveys," and "labor force surveys" each use the terms "researcher," "scientist," and "professional" to classify respondents. The OECD and EUROSTAT publish data indicating a large brain drain of highly qualified workers

leaving Italy. Around 300,631 Italian expatriates, or around 12% of the whole expatriate community, have a high degree of professional skill, according to a research by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) (Dumont & Lemaitre, 2004, p. 12). When it comes to the mobility of Science and Technology (S&T) people inside the European Union (EU), Italy ranks first with a total of 31,000 emigrants, according to data from EUROSTAT. Spanish, Austrian, Greek, Irish, Portuguese, Finnish, Danish, and Dutch are the next in line. According to the European Commission (2003), the numbers reported in this study apply to a wide variety of occupations in the STEM fields. There isn't enough space in the user's text to rewrite it scholarly. Researchers in this field tend to move around quite a bit, which leads to frequent changes in the data collected. Temporary or contractual scientific migrants, who frequently move between Member States but do not permanently settle (Appleyard, 1989; Salt & Findlay, 1989), are often missed or underestimated in studies of skilled international migration and brain drain. This hypothetical situation involves a collection of Italian biologists, chemists, and physicists who repeatedly uproot their lives in order to chase short-term career possibilities in other countries. As a typical topic of research in the realm of human capital economics (Bhagwati & Koichi, 1973; Bhagwati, 1976, 1987), this study employs mathematically complex models in an effort to quantify the phenomena of Italian scientific migration. The primary goal of this research is to harmonize the narratives of national and international media outlets and politicians with the lived experiences of Italian scientists regarding the challenging career paths they have taken. Significant questions about the nature of skilled migration to Italy will be prompted by this contrast. Based solely on my interpretation of the data and findings of the MOBEX study. Several methods were used in this study, including qualitative interviews with key informants and Italian scientists working in the UK, as well as political and legal assessments done via online surveys. The study also included a group of Italians who had moved back to their homeland. Drawing on relevant findings from the study project, this paper provides a critical examination of the factors influencing academics' relocation decisions and underlines important problems connected with scientific mobility in Italy.

Pull Factors

In addition to resulting in a number of other key historical shifts, the Second World War caused a leadership change in the scientific community, moving it from Europe to the United States. As a direct result of the deliberate persecution of intellectuals, in particular German-Jewish scientists, a considerable number of scientists from Europe began transferring to the newly constructed research centers in the United States. This was done in response to the Holocaust. This phenomenon has been referred to as the "brain drain" phenomenon on numerous occasions (Brandi, 2001; Avvenuto & Brandi, 2003; DiGiorgio, 2003). During this important period in history, the United States of America began to be seen by the rest of the world as a country that was on its way to becoming the dominant power in the scientific community. The majority of Italian periodicals are extremely critical of the relatively poor quality of the country's scientific and technological output, while at the same time portraying the United States as the pinnacle of achievement when it comes to scientific research (Battiston, 2002; Rampini, 2003a,b). Students and professors agree that this change is one factor that has contributed to the ever-increasing respect that is accorded to scientific research conducted in the United States over the course of time. In recent years, a number of research facilities in Europe, the majority of which are situated in the United Kingdom but also in Germany and France, have shown an increasing capacity to compete with their equivalents in the United States. According to DiGiorgio (2004), Italian publications have brought attention to the outstanding level of scientific achievement attained by these countries. The domestic research system, on the other hand, has been portrayed by them in a constant manner as being behind in its fundamental characteristics. The appeal of working in a research center in the United States or the United Kingdom is unquestionably one of the most important elements that draws specialists from countries other than Italy.

Push factor

The situation known as the "brain drain" in Italy can be attributed, at least in part, to an insufficient amount of money, according to the opinions of a sizeable proportion of the academics who were questioned for this study. In recent years, legislative actions have resulted in increased restrictions being placed on the various sources of funding that are available to universities and research bodies. The primary rationale for taking these actions is to provide conventional research institutions with an incentive to

actively seek out and gain financial backing from the private sector, notably from industrial organizations. It is possible that this assumption is correct within some fields of applied research; nevertheless, the extent to which it can be applied across the entire spectrum of scientific fields is very questionable. Before having an effect on individuals, the consequences of having insufficient cash are initially felt primarily inside the institutional framework, which includes things such as equipment and infrastructure. As an illustration, it is common knowledge that the pay scale for researchers in Italy is woefully inadequate. As a result, in order to qualify for a paid position, one must first put in a large amount of time working for significantly lower pay. According to the conclusions of a scientist, the existing financial difficulties make it difficult for researchers working at Italian universities to relocate. As a result, they are forced to live with their parents until possibilities that are more advantageous become available. The insufficient financial foundation of the country's Research, Technology, and Development (RTD) system is an issue that is frequently brought up in the realm of Italian journalistic discourse. It has been observed that the discrepancy in salaries in Italy is more pronounced compared to other nations in Europe. This is proven by the fact that younger researchers earn just a quarter of what their more experienced peers do (Hellemans, 2001; Salvia, 2003). It has been noted that the difference in wages in Italy is more pronounced compared to other nations in Europe. The average starting compensation for a researcher is roughly \$1035 per month, which works out to about \$6 per hour. This is similar to the salary of an entry-level research assistant. In comparison, researchers in the United States make roughly four thousand dollars per month, while those in the European Union earn between two thousand and three thousand dollars per month. According to the findings of a study that was carried out in Italy in the year 2003, the income of a cleaner is fairly stable. It has been indicated, on the basis of the opinions of a number of the participants, that prospects for temporary employment may serve as an enticing alternative to the pursuit of post-doctoral postings overseas; however, this is dependant upon the availability of higher compensation and raised visibility. At the present time, individuals in post-doctoral posts are subject to laws that are comparable to those that are applicable to those receiving student grants. As a consequence of this, they do not have the right to remunerated maternity leave, among other benefits.

Conclusion

Multiple academic articles have researched and analyzed the phenomenon of highly skilled migration from Italy at length. Scholars suggest that a multi-level approach that incorporates information and approaches from other disciplines is necessary to acquire a thorough knowledge of modern migration trends (Massey et al., 1993, p. 432). The supply side, which is represented by immigrants, has received less attention than the demand side, which is represented by enterprises, under the predominate theoretical frameworks. To better meet the needs of all parties involved, including businesses, governments, and immigrant families, modern innovations have allowed for better communication and coordination between these groups (Koser & Salt, 1997, p. Neoclassical economic migration theories have been the subject of extensive academic study. These ideas incorporate push, pull, and human capital models. To better understand the mobility patterns of highly skilled persons, this study aims to build comprehensive frameworks (Massey et al., 1993, p. 445). Researchers have occasionally used statistical studies on datasets and theoretical analysis to better understand this form of migration (Munich et al., 2002; Avvenuto & Brandi, 2003; Todisco et al., 2003). These works of literature have illuminated some pressing challenges of contemporary professional migration. However, there is still a significant hole in the academic literature because no one theory or model has been developed to account for all the causes that have led Italian scientists to leave their homeland. This paper presents a methodical strategy. When media portrayals are compared to immigrant experiences, similarities and differences become clear. Later analyses have made use of the theoretical frameworks laid out by earlier research to provide light on the genuine character of scientific mobility in Italy. For the most part, the opinions of the polled scientists point to a lack of government funding for R&D as the primary cause of scientific emigration. Respondents and the media have both been critical of the government's current research policy. In addition, the pervasive impact of the Baron system is widely recognized as a major source of corruption in the Italian research sector. The media has depicted research labs and centers of excellence in the United States and Europe as very appealing places for the world's best scientists to work. Among the interviewees, there was a sizable proportion who felt compelled to relocate in order to find research-related work, receive greater pay, and have easier access to specialized equipment and facilities. Many people wish they could go back in time and change things (Gill, 2005). Some people went on a trip thinking they would

be back soon; instead, they found themselves relocating permanently to another country. Acculturation to the host country and the limited chances for repatriation may both play a role in this phenomena.

References

- ABBOTT, A. (2001) 'Forza scienza!', *Nature*, vol. 412, no. 6844, pp. 264-265. ACKERS, L.
- (2001) *The Participation of Women Researchers in the TMR Marie Curie Fellowships*, European Commission, Brussels.
- (2002)
- (2003) ACKERS, L. (2005) 'Promoting scientific mobility and balanced growth in the European Research Area', *Innovation: The European Journal of Social Science Research*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 301-317.
- (2004)
- (2005) ADAMS, R. (2003) 'International migration, remittances and the brain drain: a study of 24 labor exporting countries', *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 3069*, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- ALBERONI, F. (2003) 'Pochi ricercatori in Italia perché l'Università è inerte', *Corriere della Sera*, 20 Jan. Available at: <http://www.amm.units.it/rstampa.nsf/vRassegnaGiorno/20030120?OpenDocument>. Accessed 31 May 2006. APPELYARD, R. T.
- (1989) 'International migration and developing countries', in *The Impact of International Migration on Developing Countries*, ed. R. T. Appleyard, OECD, Paris.
- AVVENUTO, S. & BRANDI, M. C. (2003) *Flows and the EU* Italy*, Report prepared for the 'Brain Drain: Emigration Flows for Qualified Scientists' project, Available at: <http://www.merit.unimaas.nl/braindrain>. Accessed 31 May 2006.
- BATTISTON, R. (2002) 'Alament for Italy's brain drain' (Book Review), *Nature*, vol. 415, no. 6872, pp. 582-583. BECKER, S.,

ICHINO, A. & PERI, G. (2003) 'How large is the "brain drain" from Italy?', CESIFO Working Paper no. 839, University of Munich, Available at: <http://www.merit.unimaas.nl/braindrain>. Accessed 31 May 2006. BHAGWATI, J. (1976) 'The brain drain', International Social Science Journal, vol. 28, pp. 691-729.

BHAGWATI, J. (1987) 'The economic analysis of international migration', in *Essays in International Economic Theory: International Factor Mobility*, ed. R. C. Feenstra, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, pp. 44-56.

BHAGWATI, J. & KOICHI, H. (1973) 'The brain drain, international integration of markets for professionals and unemployment: a theoretical analysis', *Journal of Development Economics*, vol. 1, pp. 19-42.

BRANDI, M. C. (2001) 'Evoluzione degli studi sulle migrazioni: brain drain e mobilità', *Studi Emigrazione/Migration Studies*, vol. XXXVIII, no. 141, pp. 93.

BURR, D. (2004) 'Injustice of draft law will speed Italy's brain drain', *Nature*, vol. 428, no. 6986,

p. 891, Available at: www.nature.com/news/2004/040426/pf/428891b_pf.html. Accessed 31 May 2006.

CA, G. (2003) 'Assunzioni bloccate, 1500 ricercatori in fuga', *Corriere della Sera*, 8 Nov. Available at http://www.corriere.it/Primo_Piano/Cronache/2003/11_Novembre/08/cervelli.shtml. Accessed 31 May 2006.

CARRINGTON, W. & DETRAGIACHE, E. (1999) 'How extensive is the brain drain?', *Finance and Development*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 17.

CERVANTES, M. & GUELLEC, D. (2002) *The Brain Drain: Old Myths, New Realities*, OECD Observer, Available at: http://www.oecdobserver.org/news/printpage.php/aid/673/the_braindrain:_Old_myths,new_realities. Accessed 31 May 2006.

CONSOLAZIO, W. V. (1961) 'Dilemma of academic biology in Europe', *Science*, vol. 133, pp. 1892-1896.

DAVENPORT, S. (2004) 'Panic and panacea: brain drain and science and technology human capital policy', *Research Policy*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 617-630.

DIGIORGIO, C. (2003) *Cervelli export. Perché l'Italia regala al mondo i suoi talenti scientifici*, Adnkronos libri, Rome. DIGIORGIO, C. (2004) 'Cervelli in fuga negli Usa. La Ue parte al

ontrattacco', La Repubblica, 16 Jan. Available at: <http://cnu.cineca.it/nazionale04/contrattacco.htm>. Accessed 31 May 2006.

DUMONT, J.C. & LEMAITRE, G. (2005) Counting Immigrants and Expatriates in OECD Countries: a New Perspective, Working Paper no. 25, DELSA/ELSA/WD/SEM(2005)4, OECD, Paris, Available at: <http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/34/59/35043046.pdf>. Accessed 31 May 2006. EUROPEAN COMMISSION (2003) Third European Report on Science and Technology Indicators, European Commission (DG Research), Brussels, Available at: http://www.cordis.europa.eu/indicators/third_report.htm. Accessed 31 May 2006.

GILL, B. (2005) 'Homeward bound? The experience of return mobility for Italian scientists', *Innovation: The European Journal of Social Science Research*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 319-341.

HALFACREE, K.H. & BOYLE, P.J. (1993) 'The challenge facing migration research: the case for a biographical approach', *Progress in Human Geography*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 333-348. HANSEN, W. (2003) Brain Drain: Emigration Flows for Qualified Scientists, Executive Summary and Conclusions, Available at: http://cordis.europa.eu/search/index.cfm?fuseaction=lib.simpledocument&DOC_ID=6663271&CFID=2746535&CFTOKEN=1f2c1384611afe2215E37DB7-D030-5C3F-30943FD1E589CE4C. Accessed 31 May 2006.

HELLEMANS, A. (2001) 'Beating the European brain drain', *Nature*, vol. 414, no. 6862, pp. 37-38. HUNTER, P. (2003) 'Life scientist exodus continues from Italy. Intellectual migrants expect brain drain to persist despite government initiatives', *The Scientist*, vol. 17, no. 16, p. 63.