Subject Archives: The Role of Humanities Libraries in Advancing Digital Publishing Alternatives

Ahmad Iqbal Shah

Assistant Professor at University of Kohat at-Ahmadshah6575@gmail.com

Abstract

The widespread adoption of digital research tools in the humanities has led to the emergence of novel methods of academic investigation and dissemination. In order for these forms to flourish, it is imperative that we develop novel methods for disseminating and presenting knowledge. If digital research findings are not integrated into the usual academic communication processes, including publication, discovery, access-provision, maintenance, and preservation, it could put the academic record at risk. This category encompasses the techniques of publishing, searching, sharing, updating, and archiving. The objective of this project is to conduct an examination of a particular set of databases focused on the issue of digital humanities. Researching a certain topic has become significantly easier due to the availability of digital archives containing original documents. Although the genre is highly popular, it includes a diverse range of styles, objectives, and intentions. In order to gain a deeper comprehension of the genre in its whole, one can employ the typological approach, which aims to recognise and represent specific categories of collections. Utilising this approach will enable you to accomplish this objective. This study aims to examine the concept, technique, and challenges associated with ensuring constant and convenient access to digital academic resources for theme research collections.

Introduction

In the academic discipline of the humanities, the development of thematic research collections as a kind of digital scholarly creation is becoming increasingly common. According to Palmer (2004), the majority of the items contained in these collections have been compiled with the express intention of making it simpler to conduct research on a certain subject. These collections are often made available to the public through digital humanities organizations that can be found online. These institutions typically host a multitude of repositories that are in varying stages of completion. The William Blake Archive is one example of a big digital archive, whereas Disability in the Nineteenth Century: Cultures and Contexts is an example of a tiny collection of

literature or history on a particular topic. Both are examples of digital archives. There is a widespread consensus that digital collections constitute an intellectual product that is both meaningful and significant. However, there is a lack of in-depth understanding of the generation of digital collections, their impact on humanities discourse, and the most effective ways to incorporate digital collections into the infrastructure that already exists for publication, peer review, discovery, and long-term maintenance. This is a problem because digital collections are becoming increasingly important. For the purpose of ensuring the continued growth of publicly accessible humanities study, the preservation of collections across time, and the conservation of the scholarly record, a more methodical knowledge of this and other growing categories of academic creation is required. The fundamental purpose of the investigation is to make use of typological analysis as a launchpad for subsequent investigations into topic research collections. The following inquiries are prompted by the statement that came before: The proposed typology, which was derived from a comprehensive study of collections, categorises collections into five distinct types according to the differences in their underlying data structures. This provides insight into which categories of collections may effectively be distinguished and what those characteristics may tell us about the opportunities and challenges encountered in the advancement and management of digital scholarship in the humanities. Koch (2000) argues that the goals of typological analysis are to get an appreciation for the vast variety that exists within a genre and to find variations that may not be included in common understandings. In other words, the purpose of this type of study is to "spot variations that may not be included in common understandings." The most important conclusion that can be drawn from this investigation is neither that our classification system carries any ontological weight, nor is it that it is essential to establish clear distinctions between the various types of evolving scholarly work. On the other hand, comparisons between the various sorts can give information on the nature of digital scholarship and the potential effects it may have on libraries.

Libraries and Archives Organized Around a Specific Topic

Since quite some time ago, it has been generally acknowledged that theme research collections are a type of academic production in the field of the humanities (Flanders, 2014; Palmer, 2004; Price, 2009; Thomas, 2015; Unsworth, 2000). According to Palmer (2004, n.p.), the production of scholarly research collections is gradually becoming recognised as a valid kind of academic work, which will likely result in an

increase in the number of these types of collections. Since this prediction was initially made, more than a decade has passed. It is obvious to see that the scope of the genre has expanded significantly during the course of its existence. However, traditional production processes have been the standard in the academic world, such as the preparation of books and papers for publication in scholarly journals (Acord & Harley, 2013; Housewright, Schonfeld, & Wulfson, 2013). Depending on the specifics of the endeavour, one or more of the collections may constitute the project's primary focus, while others may be seen as beneficial project consequences. To phrase it another way, themed research collections are a subset of the rapidly expanding and frequently experimental digital productions and publications that are occurring in the humanities. In common usage, the distinctions between several genres become less clear, and the roles and structures of each become increasingly similar to one another. Every single person who is working towards the goals of centralised access, long-term sustainability, preservation, and incorporation into existent systems of scholarly review faces significant challenges. The present investigation will focus on thematic research collections, most specifically Fenlon 525. Both an evolution in the role of libraries, in which they are now actively engaged in publication and contributing to research efforts, and a shift in the desired material that academics want to share are the setting for this research. The first important change is an evolution in the role of libraries, which are now actively engaged in publication and contributing to research endeavours. The role that libraries have traditionally played in many aspects of scholarly communication has traditionally been an important one. These aspects include, but are not limited to, the support of authors and researchers, the characterization of intellectual property, the facilitation of access and discovery, and the preservation of sustainable and permanent access to scholarly works. Recent years have seen a rise in the importance of libraries as essential players in the arena of academic discourse. In recent years, there has been an increase in interest in the publishing sector, specifically with open-access scholarship and non-traditional publication forms (Lippincott, 2015). Even in cases when publication is not part of a library's primary function, there is a growing demand for innovative digital scholarship to be conducted in these institutions. The Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, for example, has recently awarded funding to a number of different initiatives in order to assist university presses in improving their capacity to publish alternative digital research. According to AAUP (n.d.), several of these programmes

would not have been possible without the participation of the university library. The expansion of services and tools has been of tremendous assistance in facilitating the dissemination of humanities sources. The study that Bardi and Manghi (2014) conducted is just one illustration of the expanding corpus of research that analyses enhanced publication. It is important to include not only Fulcrum (McGlone, 2016), a beta initiative at the University of Michigan that aims to publish collections of multimedia scholarly evidence alongside monograph publications, but also Omeka, a well-known web publishing platform that was built specifically for collections. Fulcrum aims to publish collections of multimedia scholarly evidence alongside monograph publications. Improved awareness of scholarly collections could prove beneficial to the field of digital publishing, which is particularly important when considering the challenges that are presented by more conventional publication methods. The dynamic nature of collections, which enables them to develop and transform through time, as well as the intricate relationships that frequently exist between collections, their constituent pieces, and other publications and sources, are two of these challenges. The proliferation of online intellectual collections that need to be reviewed, characterised, made accessible, and kept for the long term presents new challenges for libraries and other types of institutions. These collections must be made available to users and must be preserved. It is becoming more important to have established guidelines for evaluating the many forms of digital media. In addition, it is still not quite obvious whether or if the existing standards for the information that is descriptive are sufficient for supporting the development of formats. How might the incorporation of digital scholarship in libraries make the process of discovery, organisation, and upkeep of their resources more efficient? When it comes to preserving new forms of expression and ensuring that they continue to exist, giving serious consideration to the most effective strategies is crucial. Despite the fact that Rosenzweig (2007) mentions the engagement of several libraries in the long-term storage of digital humanities projects, there are still many questions that need to be answered.

Data Modeling

For the purpose of this investigation, we make use of the idea of data modelling that was given by Flanders and Jannidis (2015) in order to establish a conceptual architecture for appreciating the digital resources that researchers in the humanities produce. The majority of the time, thematic research collections consist of primary

data, which predominately incorporates digital original material, such as scanned papers and photographs in a variety of formats. These primary data may also include component components or derivatives, and they are all backed up by metadata that serves to characterise each of these parts and develop links between them. Primary data may also include component components or derivatives. A data model can be seen as a resource that makes use of a predetermined format or structure to represent its content. This definition applies to the situation at hand. In order to define the things and relationships contained within a conceptual space, one will frequently make use of a data model. In point of fact, it neither grants nor imposes constraints on the intentions or applications of the content, but rather does both at the same time. Web-based collections are planned to include many layers of data models, which may include instantiated descriptive schemas, markup standards, resource linkage models, and other components linked to these levels (Flanders, 2014; Flanders & Muoz, 2012). Analysing the way in which data models are integrated and interact to generate these collections is a method that is often used to investigate the composition of collections, which is a vital subject within this emerging discipline (Flanders, 2014).

Implications and Future Work

According to Flanders (2014, page 168), the "boundedness and internal coherence" of collections are influenced by the data models that are used to create those collections. The coherence of objects contained inside a collection, the performance of collections as cohesive entities, and, ultimately, the epistemological implications of these various conceptual data models all vary depending on the model in question. Our investigation into the various kinds of topic research collections and the underlying technical frameworks of these collections has made this abundantly clear (Fenlon, 537). Thematic research collections are uniformly organised and can be recognised as cohesive bodies of data. As a result, there are more similarities between them than there are discrepancies. In spite of this, there is a greater degree of dissimilarity across these organisations than was previously anticipated. This dissimilarity encompasses different goals as well as different approaches to the facilitation and presentation of research. The primary constructions of the data models are put to use in a variety of ways to accomplish the goals of the themed research collections. The idea of collections is typically understood to refer to an accumulation of a number of distinct items that have been brought under one roof. However, it is clear that themed

research collections are more than a simple collection of items; rather, they are evolving into a hybrid form that resembles both a complicated research article and a dataset. This suggests that themed research collections are becoming more comprehensive. The things that they have in their possession play a part in the scholarly work that they have produced; specifically, these things serve as examples that were carefully chosen from among a vast pool of evidence options. In the case of type 1 collections in particular, these items are also offered as high-quality digital copies that incorporate interpretations that are incorporated inside transcriptions and encodings. The incorporation of these resources improves the collection at the primary level by enabling linkages to be made, either explicitly or implicitly, between the items and the contextual information that is a part of the collection. Integrated data models make it possible to create relationships between data sets. In addition, the presence of affordance layers that have been integrated into the material has an effect on contributions, as well as a two-way influence on those contributions. The layers in issue have a great amount of depth, and they cover many different kinds of mediation, such as maps and models in three dimensions. In addition to this, they are exceptionally thin, which provides vital qualities for vision and navigation. The lifetime of diverse digital scholarly outputs is the primary pragmatic worry with regard to the future of digital scholarship. This problem encompasses a wide range of outputs. What special obstacles do libraries and other responsible institutions have when it comes to the preservation and upkeep of themed research collections over the course of time, and how may these challenges be overcome? To conduct an investigation of this matter in its entirety, additional study is required, and technological solutions will not be the primary focus of the proposed remedies. Under certain conditions, it may be appropriate to keep the individual digital entities that make up the entirety of a collection. This is dependent on the nature of the circumstances. This assumption has a particularly strong basis in truth in the event that the primary focus of the contributions made by the collection is on the curation and encoding of content, as opposed to the functioning or interactivity of the resource. In these types of situations, libraries have the ability to utilise methodologies for the curation of humanities data (Muoz (2013), for instance, explores the strengthening of humanities data curation skills within library publication programmes). It is possible to preserve digital objects in certain basic contexts by embedding them into already-existing multimedia artefacts within

institutions; alternatively, if this is not viable, digital objects can be preserved by making use of repositories that are either institution- or subject-specific. However, in many cases, especially those involving type 3 collections and certain type 1 collections, it may be necessary to use more complicated methods of preservation or maintenance in order to protect the deep links and performative characteristics that are inherent in these materials. When compared to the effort of maintaining unique and independent digital entities, the task of preserving the relationships between data models is more complex. When it comes to the preservation of other complicated and socially involved digital things, such as video games (Bettivia, 2016) and digital artwork (Anderson, 2016), this industry faces similar issues. It is quite expected that the preservation of digital humanities scholarship, especially thematic research collections, will necessitate the implementation of context-specific and innovative approaches that combine digital preservation and data curation strategies. Our proposed typology has been developed with the intention of laying the groundwork for two different research projects that are now under way within this genre. The first step is to carry out an exhaustive content analysis of typical collections, with the objective of gaining additional insights into the characteristics of these collections as well as the data models that underlie them. The second step is to conduct a number of interviews with persons who are actively involved in the formation and upkeep of these collections. This typological study has made an effort to build links across a wide variety of possible forms of theme research collections in order to improve the reader's overall grasp of the genre in its whole. Instead of promoting this typology as the definitive representation of the genre's ontology, my intention is that this systematic, albeit limited, characterization has made it possible to facilitate valuable observations regarding the essence of thematic research collections, their functioning, and the challenges encountered in the ongoing and effective delivery of digital scholarship. This characterization is systematic, but it is limited in scope.

References

Acord, S. K., & Harley, D. (2013). Credit, time, and personality: The human challenges to sharing scholarly work using Web 2.0. New Media & Society, 15(3), 379–397.

Anderson, D. P. (2016). Preserving hybrid objects. Communications of the ACM, 59(5), 44–46.

Association of American University Presses (AAUP). (n.d.). Collaborative and capacity building projects. Retrieved from http://www.aaupnet.org/aaup-members/news-from-the-member ship/collaborative-publishing-initiatives Bardi, A., & Manghi, P. (2014). Enhanced publications: Data models and information systems. LIBER Quarterly, 23(4), 240–273.

Bettivia, R. (2016). Where does significance lie: Locating the significant properties of video games in Preserving Virtual Worlds II data. International Journal of Digital Curation, 11(1), 17–32.

Flanders, J. (2014). Rethinking collections. In P. L. Arthur & K. Bode (Eds.), Advancing digital humanities (pp. 163–174).

Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan. Flanders, J., & Jannidis, F. (2015). Knowledge organization and data modeling in the humanities. White paper on the Workshop on Knowledge Organization and Data Modeling in the Humanities, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, March 14–16,

2012. Retrieved from http://www.wwp.northeastern.edu/outreach/conference/kodm2012/flanders_jan nidis_datamodeling.pdf Flanders, J., & Muñoz, T. (2012). An introduction to humanities data curation. In DH curation: A community resource guide to data curation for the digital humanities. Retrieved from http:// guide.dhcuration.org/intro/ Housewright, R., Schonfeld, R. C., & Wulfson, K. (2013). Ithaka S+ R US faculty survey 2012. New York: ITHAKA. Retrieved from http://digital.library.unt.edu/ark:/67531/metadc234917 /m2/1/high_res_d/Ithaka_S+R_US_Faculty_Survey_2012_FINAL.pdf Kluge, S. (2000). Empirically grounded construction of types and typologies in qualitative social research. Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research, 1(1). Retrieved from http://www.qualitative-research.net/index.php/fqs/article/view/1124 Koch, T. (2000). Quality-controlled subject gateways: Definitions, typologies, empirical overview. Online Information Review, 24(1), 24–34.

2013.

18

2014. Lippincott, S. (Ed.). (2015). Library publishing directory 2015. Library Publishing Coalition. Retrieved from http://www.librarypublishing.org/sites/librarypublishing.org/files/docu ments/lpc_dir_2015lpd.pdf McGlone, J. (2016, October 28). Michigan Publishing announces beta launch of new publishing platform, Fulcrum. Announcements, University of Michigan Press. Retrieved from https://www.publishing.umich.edu/2016/10/28/michigan-publishing-announces-beta -launch-of-new-publishing-platform-fulcrum/ Muñoz, T. (2013). Data curation as publishing for digital humanities. Journal of Digital Humanities, 2(3), 13–22. 2015.

2016. Palmer, C. L. (2004). Thematic research collections. In S. Schreibman, R. Siemens, & J. Unsworth (Eds.), A companion to digital humanities. Oxford: Blackwell. Retrieved from http://www.digi talhumanities.org/companion/view?docId=blackwell/9781405103213/9781405103213 . xml&chunk.id=ss1-4-5&toc.depth=1&toc.id=ss1-4-5&brand=default Price, K. M. (2009). Edition, project, database, archive, thematic research collection: What's in a name? Digital Humanities Quarterly, 3(3). Retrieved from http://www.digitalhumanities .org/dhq/vol/3/3/000053/000053.html Rosenzweig, R. (2007, July 2). Collaboration and the cyberinfrastructure: Academic collaboration with museums and libraries in the digital era. First Monday, 12(7). Retrieved from http://firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/1926 Thomas, W. G. (2015). The promise of the digital humanities and the contested nature of digital scholarship. In S. Schreibman, R. Siemens, & J. Unsworth (Eds.), A new companion to digital humanities. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons. Retrieved from http://online library.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/9781118680605.ch36/summary Unsworth, J. (2000, December). Thematic research collections. Paper presented at the Modern Languages Association Annual Conference, Washington, D.C. Retrieved from http://www .iath.virginia.edu/-jmu2m/MLA.00